

SCIENCE

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 1914

CONTENTS

<i>The American Association for the Advancement of Science:—</i>	
<i>The Evolution of a Botanical Problem:</i>	
PROFESSOR DUNCAN S. JOHNSON	299
<i>J. J. Rivers:</i>	
PROFESSOR IRA M. BUELL	319
<i>The Fourth International Botanical Congress.</i>	320
<i>The American Society of Naturalists</i>	322
<i>Scientific Notes and News</i>	322
<i>University and Educational News</i>	325
<i>Discussion and Correspondence:—</i>	
<i>Graduate Work in American Universities:</i>	
RUDOLF PINTNER. <i>The Cause of the Peculiar Sound made by Nighthawks when Volplaning:</i>	
FRANK A. HARTMAN	326
<i>Scientific Books:—</i>	
<i>Löhnis on Landwirtschaftliche Bacteriologie:</i>	
DR. P. G. HEINEMANN. <i>von Kobells Lehrbuch der Mineralogie:</i>	
PROFESSOR EDWARD H. KRAUS. <i>Getman's Theoretical Chemistry:</i>	
PROFESSOR VICTOR LENHER	327
<i>Botanical Notes:—</i>	
<i>Small's Manuals; Notes:</i>	
PROFESSOR CHARLES E. BESSEY	329
<i>Special Articles:—</i>	
<i>Mitochondria in Tissue Culture:</i>	
M. R. LEWIS, DR. WARREN H. LEWIS	330
<i>The Astronomical and Astrophysical Society of America:</i>	
PROFESSOR PHILIP FOX	333
<i>Societies and Academies:—</i>	
<i>The Academy of Science of St. Louis:</i>	
PROFESSOR G. O. JAMES	334

MSS. intended for publication and books, etc., intended for review should be sent to Professor J. McKeen Cattell, Garrison-on-Hudson, N. Y.

THE AMERICAN ASSOCIATION FOR THE ADVANCEMENT OF SCIENCE

THE EVOLUTION OF A BOTANICAL PROBLEM

THE HISTORY OF THE DISCOVERY OF SEXUALITY IN PLANTS¹

FROM the beginning of man's thoughtful consideration of natural processes, the phenomenon of sexual reproduction, with the associated phenomena of heredity, have persistently engaged his keenest interest. The primary fact of the necessary concurrence of two individuals in the production of offspring was, in the case of animals, recognized from the beginning. The equivalent phenomenon was not established for plants until the end of the seventeenth century. At this time, however, little more was known of the essential features of the sexual process in animals than had been familiar to Assyrians, Egyptians and Greeks twenty centuries before.

Of the additions made since 1700 to our knowledge of sexual reproduction, of its varied types and of the associated phenomena, no mean share has been contributed by botanical investigators. Noteworthy among such contributions are the work of Koelreuter and Mendel in the production and systematic study of plant hybrids, and the early work of Pfeffer on the chemotactic response of spermatozooids. Of more recent work we may cite that of the plant cytologists on apogamy and apospory, on multi-nucleate sexual cells or gametes, and on the long-delayed nuclear fusion in the sexual reproduction

¹ Address of the vice-president and chairman of Section G, Botany, American Association for the Advancement of Science, December, 1913.

of the plant rusts. It should then be of interest for us to consider just how and when the more important steps have been taken in building up the vast mass of somewhat incomplete knowledge that we now possess concerning the reproductive process in plants. Because of exigencies of time and patience, I shall confine myself primarily to an attempt to picture the chief steps by which our present knowledge of the essential sexual process, the union of two parental substances, has been attained. Incidentally we may note the changes in point of view of investigators and in their mode of attack on this problem. I shall attempt to suggest the trend of development more clearly by often grouping the chief phenomena discovered in such a way as to indicate the sequence of discovery, within each group, of the different phases of the sexual process, though the order of discussion may thus not always accord with the sequence, in time, of the discovery of individual phenomena in plants as a whole.

In following the evolution and change in aspect of our problem we shall often find it best to keep a few relatively great names prominent. This will serve in the first place to make the story more vivid and intelligible. It will at the same time often come nearer the essential truth, for in each great forward step some one worker has usually been the dominating leader.

I. THE DISCOVERY THAT POLLINATION IS A PREREQUISITE TO SEED-FORMATION.

750 B.C. TO A.D. 1849

The first discoveries pointing to the existence of sex in plants were evidently made very early in human history by peoples cultivating unisexual plants for food. The existence of fertile and sterile trees of the date palm, *e. g.*, was known to the peoples of Egypt and Mesopotamia from the

earliest times. Records of the cultivation of these trees and of artificial pollination have come down to us on bas-reliefs from before 700 B.C. found in the palace of Sargon at Khorsabad (Haupt and Toy, 1899). The Assyrians, it is said, commonly referred to the two date trees as male and female (Rawlinson, 1866). The Greeks, in spite of their peculiarly keen interest in natural phenomena, failed to offer any definite interpretation of this well-known fact concerning the date palm. Aristotle and Theophrastus report the fact, gained apparently from the agriculturalists and herb-gatherers, that some trees of the date, fig and terebinth bear no fruit themselves, but in some way aid the fertile tree in perfecting its fruit. But without recording a single crucial experiment on the matter, Theophrastus concludes that this can not be a real sexuality, since this phenomenon is found in so few plants.

In this uncertain state the knowledge of sexuality in plants was destined to rest for twenty centuries, waiting for the experimental genius of Camerarius to give a conclusive answer to the question raised by the Assyrian and Greek gardeners and answered wrongly by Theophrastus. The English physician Grew (1676) did, it is true, accept and expand the suggestion of Sir Thomas Millington that the stamens serve as the male organs of the plant. Thus Grew concludes (p. 173) that when the anther opens the "globulets in the thecae act as vegetable sperm which falls upon the seed-case or womb and touches it with prolific virtue." But this guess, though it proved correct in the main point, was still a guess, and not supported by any critical evidence so far as recorded by Grew. The only adequate evidence that could be obtained on this question, while microscopes and technique were still so imperfect, was experimental evidence. This kind of proof

was first given, some 20 years after Grew's work, by Rudolph Jakob Camerarius, of Tübingen. Camerarius fully appreciated the presence of a real problem here. He also had the genius to see that the philosophical attempts of many of his immediate predecessors, to discover its solution entirely in their own inner consciousnesses, were futile. With the insight of a modern experimenter Camerarius put the question to the plants themselves. The results of his experiments, as reported in the famous letter of 1694 to Professor Valentin, of Giessen, were clear and conclusive. After noting that aborted seeds were produced by isolated—and therefore unpollinated—female plants of *Mercurialis*, and of the mulberry; by castrated plants of the castor bean; and by plants of Indian corn from which he had removed the stigmas, Camerarius gives his interpretation of these phenomena. He says (Ostwald "Klassiker," p. 25):

In the vegetable kingdom there is accomplished no reproduction by seeds, that most perfect gift of nature, and the usual means of perpetuating the species, unless the previously appearing apices of the flower have already prepared the plant therefor. It appears reasonable to attribute to these anthers a noble name and the office of male sexual organs.

In the seventy years after Camerarius had proved in this way the existence of two sexes, and the fertilizing function of the pollen in plants, little advance was made. Bradley, of London, Gleditsch, of Berlin, and Governor Logan, of Pennsylvania, confirmed parts of Camerarius's work, and the great Linnæus accepted the conception of the stamens and pistils as sexual organs as clearly proven, *not*, be it noted, *by the results of Camerarius's experiments* but by "*the nature of plants.*"

In 1761, J. G. Koelreuter, of Carlsruhe, published an account of the first systematic attempt that had been made, with

either plants or animals, to produce and carefully study artificial hybrids. In his work with hybrid tobaccos, he demonstrated that characters from both parents are often associated in a single offspring. He thus not only completed Camerarius's work, but also, by showing that the male parent participates in the makeup of the offspring, he helped materially to break down the "emboitement theory" of Christian Wolff, which assumed that the embryo came entirely from the egg, and that its characters could not be influenced by the male parent. It is true that Koelreuter was mistaken in believing that fertilization is accomplished by the mingling of the oil on the pollen grains with the secretion of the stigma to form a mixed fluid, which he supposed then penetrated to the ovule. Nevertheless, his conception of the mingling of two substances was a move with the proper trend.

Koelreuter also demonstrated that in nature the pollen necessary to fertilization is often brought to the stigma by insects. He thus opened up a field of research which was cultivated with such splendid effect by Konrad Sprengel thirty years later, and by Darwin, Müller and others a century afterward.

In spite of the absolutely conclusive work of Camerarius, Koelreuter and Sprengel on the sexuality of plants, their conclusions were often rejected during the first half of the nineteenth century. Certain devotees of the nature philosophy, for example, occupied themselves either in proving over again, after Cesalpino, that plants can not be sexual, *because of their nature*, or in trying, by ill-conceived, and carelessly performed "experiments," to prove the conclusions of Camerarius and Koelreuter erroneous. These objectors were finally silenced, however, when Gaertner, in 1849, published the results of such a large num-

ber of well-checked experiments, entirely confirming the works of Camerarius, Koelreuter and Sprengel, that no thinking botanist has since doubted the occurrence in flowering plants of a sexuality essentially identical with that found in animals.

II. THE DISCOVERY OF THE POLLEN TUBE AND ITS RELATION TO THE ORIGIN OF THE EMBRYO, 1823-1847

During the opening years of the nineteenth century a number of botanists, who believed in the sexuality of plants, tried to discover by the aid of the microscope just how fertilization is effected. Most botanists of the day believed the pollen grain burst on the stigma, and that its granular contents found a way through the style to the ovary. An entirely new aspect of the problem of fertilization was opened up, however, when in 1823 Amici, of Modena, saw on the stigma of *Portulacca*, young pollen tubes arising from the pollen grains. Seven years later he followed these tubes through the style to the micropyle of the ovule. At about this time also, Jakob Matthias Schleiden (1838) took up the study of this same problem. He was a man of vigorous intellect and great versatility, who sometimes misinterpreted what he saw, but who proved a most stimulating opponent to a number of other workers who did observe accurately. After denying Robert Brown's assertion that the pollen tubes of the orchids arise in the ovary, Schleiden proceeded to describe and figure the pollen tube as penetrating, not merely the style and then the micropyle, but even far into the embryo sac itself.

Here, as he says in his *Grundzüge* (II., p. 373):

The end (of the pollen tube) soon swells, either in such a way that the vesicle arising in it fills the whole cavity of the portion of the tube within the embryo sac, or there is left, between the apex of

the embryo sac and the embryonal vesicle of the tube, a long or a short cylindrical portion of the latter, the suspensor.

He thus regarded the embryo sac as a sort of hatching place for the embryo which he thought formed from the end of the pollen tube. This idea of the origin of the embryo really denied the occurrence of any actual sexual process, and made the pollen the mother of the embryo.

In 1846, however, the error of this conception was clearly demonstrated by Amici, who showed that the embryo of the orchids arises from an egg which is already present in the embryo sac when the pollen tube reaches it. It is this pre-existing egg, according to Amici, that is stimulated to form the embryo by the presence near it of the pollen tube. This view was confidently supported by Mohl (1847) and Hofmeister (1847) in the following year, and the controversy with Schleiden became even more spirited. As Mohl afterward wrote (1863), men were "led astray by their previous conceptions to believe they saw they could not have seen." The dispute even approached the acrimonious, as when Schleiden (1843) says of one worker's figures, "Solche Präparate sind ohne Zweifel aus den Kopf gezeichnet."

Hofmeister, from the beginning of his study of fertilization in seed plants, had sought in the pollen tube for some equivalent of the spermatozoids, those motile male cells of the mosses and ferns that had first been understood by Unger in 1837. He was unable, however, to do more than point out the mistake of earlier observers in regarding the starch grains of the pollen tube as spermatozoids, and to suggest the likelihood that these motile cells might be discovered in the gymnosperms, a prediction the fulfilment of which was realized by Ikeno and Webber fifty years later. In his study of pollen tubes Hofmeister

demonstrated to his own satisfaction that the tube does not open in accomplishing fertilization. His view, which was the one current till 1884, was that the egg is stimulated to develop into the embryo by some substance that diffuses through the *imperforate* wall of the pollen tube.

III. THE DISCOVERY OF A PROTOPLASMIC FUSION AT FERTILIZATION

We come now to consider a series of discoveries of supreme importance in the investigation of the essential sexual process in plants. This is the period in which the problem that had baffled naturalists for twenty centuries was at last solved, at least in one most essential feature, by the demonstration of the occurrence at fertilization of a mingling of paternal and maternal substances.

It will not be without interest at this point to note the intellectual stimuli which led an unusual number of workers to investigate this phase of our problem.

In the first place there were on record, and under discussion at the middle of last century the many puzzling observations of the "Spiral Faden," or animalculæ, as they were thought to be, that had been found arising from a number of plants. These motile, spiral filaments had been seen in a liverwort (*Fossombromia*) by Schmiedel (1747), in *Sphagnum* by Esenbeck (1822), in *Chara* by Bischoff (1828), and finally, on the fern prothallus by Naegeli (1844). Unger (1834-37) studied these bodies in the mosses (*Sphagnum* and *Marchantia*) and declared his belief that they are not infusoria, but are the male fertilizing cells. At this time also the zoologists of the day were making the first detailed studies of the spermatozoa of animals. Barry (1844) had seen a spermatozoon within the egg of the rabbit; Leuckart (1849) saw them enter the frogs'

egg and then, in 1851, Bischoff and Allen Thompson proved that fertilization is accomplished by the actual entrance of the spermatozoon into the egg. A no less important influence, in stimulating the botanical workers on the problem of fertilization, was the magnificent work of Hofmeister, on the reproductive structures of the mosses, ferns and conifers. By these splendid researches he had indicated to men of less insight, and less comprehensive imagination, just the points in the life cycles of plants where the critical phases of the reproductive process are to be sought.

Among the many workers engaged on this problem of fertilization in plants in the third quarter of last century there was, in consequence of readier exchange of information, an attitude of greater consideration for the work of other investigators than was found in the two preceding decades. There were differences of opinion and interpretation, to be sure, but there was less of that strenuous cocksureness when men saw, or thought they saw, differently from others. The mistakes of the brilliant Schleiden were perhaps remembered. Men like Hofmeister, Pringsheim and Strasburger added to and modified the interpretations of other workers in the same spirit with which they remolded their own immature conclusions. There was a spirit of cooperation evident; it became possible for a worker to observe and record the fate of a pollen tube in good temper and with calm judgment.

The first steps toward the demonstration of a union of two masses of living substance at fertilization resulted from the study of a group of plants, the algæ, in which sexuality had not been proven or generally admitted. It had, however, long before, been suggested in the case of *Spirogyra* by Hedwig (1798) and Vaucher (1803).

The algæ were in fact especially advan-

tageous for the study of fertilization, since the development and behavior of the reproductive organs and cells could, without elaborate preparation, be readily seen under the microscope, and often followed through in living material. Thus, Thuret in 1853 for the first time saw the active sperms attached to the egg of *Fucus*, and in 1854 proved experimentally that only eggs to which spermatozooids have had access will germinate. He thus demonstrated in this alga the correctness of Unger's unsubstantiated surmise (1837) that the spermatozooids are the male fertilizing cells. In *Edogonium*, Pringsheim, in 1856 (p. 9), watched the spermatozoid push into the receptive tip of the living egg and saw the characteristic oospore wall formed in consequence. This, except for the less satisfactory observations made on *Vaucheria* by the same worker a year previous, is the first case recorded of the observation of the actual union of male and female cells in any plant. Such a union of the protoplasmic masses of the two sexual cells was soon shown to be a characteristic feature of fertilization in a number of algæ. Thus de Bary saw it in *Spirogyra* (1858), and Pringsheim (1869) repeatedly observed the gradual fusion of the motile gametes of *Pandorina*. It was nearly thirty years later, however, that this phase of fertilization was first seen in seed-plants by Goroschankin and Strasburger.

The workers on this problem were on the lookout for further details of the process of fusion, and even knew rather definitely what they were looking for, but failed to discover it from lack of proper methods of preparation of material. Thus, *e. g.*, Strasburger, in 1877, carefully studied the process of conjugation in *Spirogyra* and found that "Hautschicht fuses with Hautschicht, Kernplasma with Kernplasma"—"The chlorophyll bands unite by their ends"—

and then goes on to say of the feature that evidently interested him most, "the cell nuclei of both cells, however, become dissolved; the copulation product is without a nucleus." Two years later, Schmitz (1879), when studying hematoxylin-stained material of this alga, was more fortunate. He saw the two nuclei in the zygote, as he says, "approach nearer and nearer, come into contact and finally fuse to a single nucleus." This observation by Schmitz is an important one, for in it we have the first clear statement that the nucleus of the male cell passes over intact to the female cell, there to fuse with the female nucleus.

Strasburger had, it is true, seen a second nucleus fusing with that of the egg in the archegonia of *Picea* and *Pinus* in 1877. He did not, however, really know the source of this second nucleus, though he suspected some relation to those that are present earlier in the tip of the pollen tube. These tube nuclei he says are dissolved just before fertilization, and then just after fertilization, to quote (1877):

The male nucleus formed from the contents of the pollen tube is found now near the end of the tube, now near, or in contact with, the egg nucleus. . . . The protoplasmic contents of the pollen tube, I hold, passes through the (imperforate) tube-membrane in a diosmotic manner.

The fertilization of the gymnosperms, because of their large eggs, pollen tubes and nuclei, was then being studied by a number of workers. One of these, Goroschankin, in 1883, was able to demonstrate that in *Pinus pumilio* the pollen tube opens at the end, and that through this pore the two male cells pass bodily into the egg. Goroschankin's mistake, in supposing both male nuclei to fuse with the egg nucleus, was corrected by Strasburger the following year. The latter (1884) saw the same bodily exit of both male nuclei from the open pollen tube of *Picea*, but found only

one male nucleus fusing with that of the egg. In the same publication Strasburger also records numerous instances in which he had been able to observe the same mode of escape of the contents of the pollen tube into the ripe embryo sac in angiosperms. At last, as Strasburger puts it, in discussing fertilization in the conifers:

The most important morphological facts are clear. It is established that the male nucleus that copulates with the egg nucleus, passes as such out of the pollen tube into the egg.

Thus, finally, was the actual material contribution of both parents to the embryo of the seed plants first seen. This was just two centuries, lacking a decade, after Camerarius (1694) had proven that the presence of pollen on the stigma is indispensable to seed formation. One chief reason why this important problem so long baffled all investigators was the lack of proper methods of preparing material for study. The older method of studying unfixed and unstained sections had certain advantages, it is true. The sequence of developmental stages was often determined with certainty by actually following their succession in living material under the microscope, and there was less cause also for dispute about artifacts. But structures of the same refractive qualities were not readily distinguished in such sections. As Strasburger himself says (1884, p. 18):

The negative results of my earlier studies and of those of Elfving were due to the lack of a method which permitted the nuclei to be distinguished in the strongly refractive contents of the pollen tube up to the moment of fertilization.

That these studies of 1884 were successful was largely due to the use of material fixed in five-tenths-per-cent. acetic acid, one-per-cent. osmic acid or absolute alcohol, and stained in borax carmine, hematoxylin or iodine green.

The extreme significance of the fact that those most highly organized portions of the

cell substance—the nuclei—were so prominent in the process of fertilization was at once appreciated by Strasburger, who in 1884 (p. 77) announced the following general conclusions as the outcome of his consideration of the phenomena observed:

(1) The fertilization process depends upon the copulation with the egg nucleus of the male nucleus that is brought into the egg, which is in accord with the view clearly expressed by O. Hertwig. (2) The cytoplasm is not concerned in the process of fertilization. (3) The sperm nucleus like the egg nucleus is a true cell nucleus.

In the years since 1884 the nuclei have been found to be the structures chiefly concerned in fertilization whenever such a process occurs. Among the earlier observations of this nuclear union at fertilization in each of the great groups are the following, named in the order of discovery: It was seen in *Pilularia* (Campbell, 1888), in *Riella* (Kruch, 1891), in *Ædogonium* (Klebahn, 1892), in the plant rusts (Dangeard and Spain-Trouffy, 1893), in the toad-stools (Wager, 1893), in the red alga *Nemalion* (Wille, 1894), in *Sphaerotheca* (Harper, 1895), in the rockweed, *Fucus* (Farmer and Williams, 1896). Finally Zederbauer (1904) reported it for the Peridinea and Olive (1907) and Kraenzlin (1907) made it out in the myxomycetes.

The observations just referred to, and many others on plants in all groups, warrant the general application of Strasburger's conclusion that a nuclear union is the characteristic feature of every sexual process. The few cases where the male cytoplasm seems more prominent than usual, as in the three conifers studied by Coker (1903), Coulter and Land (1905) and Nichols (1910), can not yet be said to have rendered it very probable that this cytoplasm plays a primary part as an inheritance carrier.

IV. THE DISCOVERY OF THE ALTERNATION OF GENERATIONS IN PLANTS, 1851-

The fact that the sexual cells of the higher plants are produced on a plant body or individual distinct from that which forms the asexual reproductive cells; and that in the normal life cycle the one type of individual arises from, and later gives rise to, an individual of the other type, must be regarded as one of the most significant features of the evolution of plants yet discovered. One of the chief general results of the magnificent work of Hofmeister was the discovery of this regular alternation of a sexual and an asexual generation, not only in the life history of the mosses and ferns, but also in that of the seed plants. Hofmeister states this result clearly in the *Vergleichende Untersuchungen* and makes it apply still more broadly in a brilliant generalization published in the "Higher Cryptogamia." There he says (p. 439):

The phenogams, therefore, form the upper terminal link of a series, the members of which are the Coniferæ and Cycadææ, the vascular cryptogams, the Muscinæ and the Characææ. These members exhibit a continually more extensive and more independent vegetative existence in proportion to the gradually descending rank of the generation preceding impregnation, which generation is developed from reproductive cells cast off from the organism itself.

Since Hofmeister's day detailed investigations by many workers have fully confirmed Hofmeister's conclusion. They have shown the essential homology, not only of the spore-producing organs, and the one or two kinds of spores produced in them, but also of the structures arising from these spores, throughout all cormophytes, from the mosses upward.

In the studies of the algæ that followed immediately after Hofmeister's work, investigators of these plants sought in them for some evidence of that regular alternation of sexual and asexual phases that had

been demonstrated in higher plants. Pringsheim (1856, p. 14) one of the ablest of these students of the algæ, at first regarded the multicellular body, formed at the germination of the oospore of *Edogonium* and *Coleochæte*, as an asexual phase, comparable with the simple sporophyte of the liverwort *Riccia*. Celakowsky (1886) distinguishes as *homologous alternation* those cases, in algæ, like *Ulothrix* or *Edogonium*, where the gamete-producing generation seemed capable of zoospore production also. The constant and regular alternation of the archegoniates and seed plants he called *antithetic alternation*. Pringsheim (1877) found that moss protonemata form from cuttings of the seta of the sporophyte as well as from bits of the gametophyte. From this fact, and from Farlow's discovery (1874) that a sporophyte of the fern, *Pteris cretica*, may arise directly from the prothallus, without the fertilization or even the formation of an egg, Pringsheim concluded that both generations of the archegoniates are really identical. He says (1877, p. 6):

I believe the moss sporogonium stands to the moss plant in the same relation that the sporangium-bearing *Saprolegnias* do to the oogonium-bearing plants of this species, . . . I therefore turn against this interpretation of the fruit generation of the thallophytes in general, and especially against this interpretation of the sexual shoot generation of the Floridæ and Ascomycetes. . . . The cystocarp is evidently not a *separate* individual but part of the sexual plant that produces it.

The antithetic view was reasserted, however, especially by Celakowsky (1877) and Bower (1890), both of whom emphasized the suggestion of A. Braun (1875) that the sporophyte is a new thing phylogenetically. Bower holds that the types of sporophyte found in the archegoniates have arisen by the amplification of the zygote, with the sterilization for vegetative functions of

smaller or larger portions of the originally all-pervading sporogenous tissue. The amphibious type of alternation of the mosses and ferns has arisen, according to Bower's conception, with the migration of these plants to the land, and the assumption of the terrestrial habit by the sporophyte. The antithetic view was also supported in a most striking way, later, by the results of the workers on chromosomes.

The homologous view of alternation also has not been without supporters in the years since Pringsheim. One of its upholders, Klebs (1896), based his belief on the fact that he could determine the type of reproductive cells formed by the algae *Hydrodictyon* and *Vaucheria*, by changing the conditions under which they are grown. Lang (1896-98) favored the homologous view because of the discoveries of Farlow, de Barry, Bower, Farmer and himself on apogamy and apospory. Scott, one of the strongest advocates of the homologous alternation theory, bases his belief not only on the evidence afforded by the cases of apogamy and apospory, but also on the fossil record. He points out the lack of any sporophyte, living or fossil, that can be regarded as ancestral to that of the ferns. In arguing for the homologous origin of the leafy fern sporophyte from a liverwort-like thallus Scott says (1911):

We know plenty of intermediate stages between a thallus and a leafy stem; but no one ever saw an intermediate stage between a sporogonium and a leafy stem.

V. THE DISCOVERY OF CHROMOSOME REDUCTION AND OF SYNAPSIS, 1888-

We have seen that during the two decades at the middle of last century students of sexuality in plants devoted their attention to the discovery of the relation of the pollen tube to the origin of the embryo. The three decades after 1860 were given

largely to the proof of a union of a paternal with a maternal nucleus as a constant feature of the sexual process in plants. For the past two decades workers interested in reproduction have been engaged especially in determining the behavior and fate, in the various phases of plant development, of those essential elements of the nuclei, the chromosomes. The result of this study has been to give us a much more definite criterion than we had before, of just what constitutes a sexual process. Moreover, this intimate examination of the chromosomes, together with the precise means of germinal analysis by breeding, introduced by Mendel, has given us some insight into the significance of the sexual process in the ontogeny and phylogeny of plants.

The discovery of chromosomes in plants may best be attributed to Strasburger, who, in 1875, first figured them distinctly in the embryo of *Picea*. It is true that Hofmeister (1867) had noticed the equatorial plate of "albuminous clumps" in cells at the time of their division, and Russow (1872) saw, in the dividing spore mother-cell nuclei of *Ophioglossum*, plates of vermiform rods ("Stäbchenplatten"). Strasburger (1879) and Hanstein (1880) and Flemming (1880) were, however, the first to realize the constancy of the occurrence of chromosomes in the dividing plant nucleus. The fact soon pressed itself upon investigators that the number of these chromosomes differs in different plants, and in different phases of the same plant. Then followed the epoch-making discovery of the zoologist Van Beneden (1883), that the number of chromosomes in the egg and sperm of *Ascaris* is the same, and that the double number characteristic of the body cells becomes reduced during the maturing of the germ cells. Botanists after some delay, due, as Strasburger says, to lack of proper technique, succeeded in demonstrat-

ing these same facts for plants. Thus Strasburger in 1888 showed that the number of chromosomes characteristic of the egg and of the male nucleus in a number of angiosperms is the same, and is fixed by a reduction, occurring in the mother cells of the pollen and of the embryo-sac. Guignard also (1889 and '91) demonstrated these phenomena in *Lilium* and in the pollen mother cells of *Ceratozamia*, noting the eight double chromosomes in the latter and other peculiarities of the first mitosis. Overton (1893) counted the same number of chromosomes in the female prothallus of *Ceratozamia*; while Farmer (1894) found four chromosomes in the thallus and sexual reproductive cells of *Pallavicinia* and eight in the seta and capsule.

Later in the same year Strasburger, in a masterly address before the British Association, completed the proof of Overton's suggestion (1893) that reduction in the mosses and ferns also takes place, as Overton puts it, "in the mother-cells of the spores; that is, at the point of alternation of the generations." Strasburger, by comparing his counts of chromosomes in the dividing spore mother cells of *Osmunda*, with the number seen by Humphrey (figures published in 1895) in the tapetal cells, found the latter number about double. It is interesting to note also that the *Osmunda* slides used in this work were among the first paraffin sections used by Strasburger.

From this correspondence of the liverwort and fern mentioned with the seed plants in which reduction had been seen, Strasburger went on to predict the universal occurrence of this phenomenon of reduction in all plants that reproduce sexually. Concerning the phylogenetic origin of the reduction process Strasburger held that all plants (and animals) were primitively non-sexual and had a constant number of chromosomes. With the development of

sexual reproduction the initiation of the process of chromosome reduction avoided the evident disadvantage of repeated doubling of the chromosome number at each sexual fusion. This return from the double number formed in the zygote to the primitive, ancestral number of chromosomes, he believed might occur at any point in the life cycle before the next fertilization. Strasburger then went on to emphasize the advantage of the sexual mode of reproduction, when once acquired, in allowing new combinations of parental strains in the offspring, and the disadvantage it had of producing so small a number of offspring. It is to meet this disadvantage, he suggested, in agreement with Bower, that the zygote of forms like *Coleochaete*, mosses, ferns and seed plants took over the function of multiplying the progeny by a sort of polyembryony, the formation of spores. The spore-bearing generation later in the evolutionary history became ultimately independent of the gametophyte, and at a still later period it not only produced two kinds of spores, but also assumed the care and nutrition of the reduced female plant, arising from the larger of the two kinds of spores. Thus, in Strasburger's view, the primitive non-sexual generation is now represented in the archegoniates by only the sexual phase which has gradually lost its power of asexual multiplication, while the sporophyte is a third, a new, generation arisen by specialization of the zygote. There is in the cor-mophytes then an antithetic alternation of the two most recently evolved phases of the life cycle, while the only clear trace of the primitive non-sexual phase is found in the halved number of chromosomes, which is reverted to by a process of chromosome reduction, at some point in each life cycle.

In the two decades since this famous pronouncement of Strasburger's was made

chromosomes have been counted in the different developmental phases of nearly all groups of plants. These counts have shown that wherever there is sexual fusion there is also, at some other point in the life cycle, a reduction of the double number of chromosomes so formed to the single number characteristic of the gametes. In all eormophytes and many thallophytes this reduction occurs at sporogenesis.

The investigation of the complementary phase of the chromosome behavior, the doubling of the number at fertilization, has during the past two decades also led to extremely interesting results.

The earlier workers on sexual nuclear fusion apparently believed that the paternal and maternal nuclear materials became intimately mingled soon after contact of the nuclear walls. Thus Klebahn (1892) described the chromatin reticula of the two nuclei as gradually merging into one, in *Edogonium*, and Shaw (1898) described the same process in *Onoclea*. It is true that Guignard (1891) had noted that, in *Lilium* and *Fritillaria*, the male and female reticula remain distinct until the prophase of the first nuclear division of the embryo. Later research, however, showed that the paternal and maternal components remain distinct till much later than this; in fact, that the chromatin elements from the two parents do not really fuse at all during the process of fertilization. On the contrary, it is pretty certain that all through the development of the sporophyte the chromosomes from the two sources retain their identity and individuality.

Thus Blackman (1898) and Ferguson (1901) say that in the fusing nuclei of *Pinus* the two chromatin nets never lose identity, and that at the first mitosis of the embryo each constituent gives rise to its own group of chromosomes. This independence of the two chromatins at fertili-

zation has since been seen in a number of species, and it is now believed to persist throughout the life of the sporophyte. The double number of chromosomes is present at each mitosis of this generation, and they sometimes occur in pairs that are assumed to consist of a paternal and a maternal chromosome each. In certain plants also, according to Overton (1909), Gregoire (1910), Stout (1912) and others, the individuality of the chromosomes of the resting nucleus, postulated by Strasburger in 1894, is morphologically discernible. De Vries (1903) emphasized this fact, that the sporophyte, with its two complete sets of chromosomes, is really two beings in one, by designating it as the "2X generation." This contrasts it at once, in this important characteristic of chromosome number, with the gametophyte or "X generation."

Apparently then no actual fusion of the chromosomes is included in the nuclear union occurring at fertilization. The question at once arising is: where in the life cycle is there any fusion, or intimate union of these inheritance-bearing units? The answer to this question was for some time generally believed to be offered by the phenomena associated with the process of "synapsis." Botanists had for some time noticed and figured the peculiar contraction of the chromatin of the spore mother-cell nucleus occurring just before the chromosomes for the reduction division are formed. Moore (1895) reaffirmed Strasburger's view that, even with the best preservation, the chromatin regularly assumes this condition at sporogenesis, and then only. Moore, therefore, declared this condition to be, not an artifact, as many workers had held, but a natural process, which he named "synapsis." In spite of the insistence by an occasional worker that synapsis is an artifact, the impression of its constancy and peculiarity grew more

general at the end of the last century. Then in 1901 Montgomery suggested that it is in this process that the long-delayed union of the paternal and maternal chromatin occurs. Montgomery's conception, that each of the double or bivalent chromosomes, formed on emergence from synapsis, is made up of a paternal and a maternal chromosome, which have in some way been paired up during the synapctic process, came to be rather generally accepted.

Recently, however, a number of workers have dissented vigorously from the view that synapsis is a constant, or a highly significant process. Thus Gregoire (1910), Gates (1911) and Lawson (1912) hold that it does not occur unfailingly at sporogenesis. Lawson says that so much of the separation of the chromatin from the nuclear wall as is not due to artifact is attributable to the more rapid growth of the nuclear wall than of the chromatin. Finally all three agree that such a process is not needed for the pairing of the chromosomes, since, as was observed by Strasburger (1905) and others, the chromosomes may regularly appear in pairs in the vegetative mitoses of the sporophyte. Moreover studies of the vegetative nuclei of the sporophyte, especially by Gregoire and his students, show that their chromosomes are closely connected by adhesions, and by pseudopodium-like strands developed between the viscid chromosomes when the new reticulum is formed after each mitosis. Gates (1911) after reviewing recent work on this point holds that the pairs seen in vegetative mitoses are of a paternal and a maternal chromosome each. He sees no adequate reason for thinking that the association of parental chromosomes at synapsis is any more intimate than that which occurs, as he says, "at or soon after fertilization." He evidently regards the connections between sporophytic chromosomes

referred to above as affording ample opportunity for any interchange of material or "influences" between the chromosomes. Gates does not say just when the parental chromosomes are first paired up after fertilization nor give the evidence for this. He fails, also, to explain the fact, upon which practically all workers seem agreed, that the halves of the diploid chromosomes are associated with each other in a more intimate way than the chromosomes of any other mitosis in the life cycle.

VI. ALTERNATION AND CHROMOSOME NUMBERS IN THE ALGÆ, 1896—

We have already seen that an attempt was made in the third quarter of last century to interpret the life histories of certain thallophytes, especially among the algæ, in terms of the alternating generations discovered by Hofmeister among the archegoniates. The basis of comparison was the occurrence of a sort of polyembryony at the germination of the sexually produced oospore of these algæ. There was much uncertainty, however, concerning the real correspondence of phases in the two groups, and even as to whether the alternation was of the same sort in the two groups.

With the promulgation of Strasburger's view (1894) regarding the significance of the reduction of the chromosome number in the life cycle, botanists felt that they would now be able to distinguish the phases of a real alternation of generations wherever chromosomes could be counted. A number of workers therefore followed out cytologically the details of development and conjugation of the sexual cells, and the germination of the zygote in various algæ.

The work of Chmielewski (1890), on *Spirogyra*, and of Klebahn (1891) on desmids showed some indications of a reduction process at the germination of the

zygote in these forms, though chromosomes could not be counted. Not till very recently was it demonstrated for one of these, *Spirogyra*, that the chromosome number is actually reduced at this time. Tröndle (1911) has counted chromosomes of *Spirogyra* and finds that there is a real reduction here, and that three of the first four nuclei formed in the zygote degenerate, the fourth remaining as the nucleus of the single embryonic plant formed.

In a study of the green alga, *Coleochaete*, Allen (1905) showed that the chromosome reduction occurs with the beginning of germination of the zygote. Hence the group of zoospore-producing cells, arising from the latter, is not to be regarded as a sporophyte, as had often been maintained. Allen thus eliminated the only ancestral prototype of the bryophyte sporogonium that the antithetic alternationists had been able to discover among the green algæ.

The search among the brown algæ for parallels to the chromosome history of the cormophytes has been much more successful. The first case made out, that of *Fucus*, by Farmer and Williams (1896) and by Strasburger (1897) seemed, it is true, not very illuminating. They found the reduction occurring in the first divisions of the eggs and sperm-producing organs, a point where it occurs in no other green plant. This case of *Fucus*, you will remember, is the one used by Scott (1896) to point a moral, when voicing the generally felt criticism of those botanists who proposed "making the number of chromosomes the criterion by which the two generations are to be distinguished." He says:

I venture to think it premature to rush into inductive reasoning from imperfectly established premises. The case of *Fucus* in which the *Fucus* plant is shown to have the full number of chromosomes goes dead against the idea that the sexual generation (and who could call a *Fucus* plant anything but sexual) necessarily has the reduced

number of chromosomes. This fact is indeed a rebuff to deductive morphology.

When, however, Strasburger (1906) and Yamanouchi (1909) followed out the logical trend of the chromosome evidence unreservedly, this life history of *Fucus* became more readily comparable with that of the cormophytes and with those of certain brown and red algæ that had in the meantime been elucidated by Williams and Yamanouchi. From this point of view, elaborated by Yamanouchi, the *Fucus* plant with its $2X$ number of chromosomes is a sporophyte and the reproductive organs arising in its conceptacles are sporangia comparable with those of a seed plant. After the reduction, which occurs at the normal point, at *sporogenesis*, each of the four megaspores, without escaping, gives rise to a gametophyte of two fertile cells or eggs. Each of the four microspores in turn forms a gametophyte, or X generation, of but sixteen cells, each of which is fertile and forms a spermatozoid. It is interesting to note here the similarity which has been pointed out by Strasburger and by Chamberlain of the chromosome cycle of *Fucus* to that of animals. In the latter, from the plant cytologist's point of view, the sexual generation has become reduced to the four haploid nuclei formed at spermatogenesis and oogenesis; and the so-called ovary and spermary are really spore-producing organs of the $2X$ or asexual generation.

In the brown seaweed *Dictyota* the discovery of the chromosome cycle revealed, for the first time in any thallophyte, an alternation that seemed clearly comparable with that of the cormophytes in this respect. Williams (1904) was able to show that the morphologically similar, mature plants of *Dictyota dichotoma* differ not only in that some produce spores only and others male or female reproductive cells

only, but also that the nuclei of the former have twice as many chromosomes as those of the sexual plants. He found also that the number of chromosomes is reduced at tetraspore formation and held that all this cytological evidence indicated the alternation of the sexual and the tetrasporic plants. The doubts of conservative botanists regarding the regular and necessary sequence of these haploid and diploid plants were dissipated when Hoyt (1910) raised fruiting tetrasporic plants from eggs and mature sexual plants from tetraspores. Hoyt thus demonstrated, for the first time by cultures in any alga, the identity of this alternation with that of the cormophytes. Yamanouchi (1911 and 1913) has demonstrated, cytologically and in part by cultures, the occurrence of an exactly similar type of alternation in the brown algæ *Cutleria* and *Zanardinia*, the life cycle of *Cutleria* seeming peculiarly like that of the cormophytes because the two generations differ not only in chromatin content, but also in structure.

In the red seaweeds also the use of cytological methods and the determination of chromosome numbers has given a series of very suggestive, though not as yet easily interpreted, results. Oltmanns (1898) showed that the nucleus of the carpospore is a direct descendant of the diploid oospore nucleus. Wolfe (1904) decided that in *Nemalion*, a species that does not form tetraspores, the reduction occurs at the budding out of the carpospores from the mass of cells arising by division of the fertilized egg. He therefore follows Oltmanns in regarding the diploid cell mass mentioned as the sporophyte of this species. In a series of red algæ, which have a tetrasporic phase in the life cycle, Yamanouchi (1906), Lewis (1909) and Svedelius (1911) have demonstrated, cytologically, an alternation of two generations very similar in

character to that first found in *Dictyota*. Lewis (1912) later proved conclusively by the use of cultures that the haploid sexual plants arise from tetraspores only, while the diploid fertilized egg gives rise, through the carpospores formed from it, to tetrasporic plants only.

In the interpretation of the phenomena seen in these red algæ Yamanouchi regards the tetrasporic plant as the more primitive phase of the $2X$ generation, and carpospore-formation as a sort of secondarily developed polyembryony for multiplying the progeny from each fertilization. Lewis's view, on the contrary, holds that the tetrasporic plant is, in origin, an early, self-propagative phase of the primitive, haploid, sexual generation. Further he suggests that, in accordance with a general tendency evident in many sexual plants, the process of reduction has here been postponed, and pushed forward from the time of carpospore-formation, where it still occurs in the primitive form *Nemalion*, into this originally haploid tetrasporic plant.

Though no generally accepted interpretation has yet appeared of the somewhat varying chromosome cycles that have now been elucidated in green, brown and red algæ, yet the mass of facts thus far obtained presents an impressive picture of the essential identity of reproductive processes in these plants with those found in the cormophytes. Perhaps the most interesting point noted in making such a comparison is the fact that the type of life cycle among algæ that corresponds most closely with that of an archegoniate, *e. g.*, is the type found in several genera of the brown algæ. The fact may be recalled here also that it was to the gametangia of this group that Davis (1903) finally turned in his search for a prototype of sexual reproductive organs of the bryophytes.

VII. SEXUALITY, CHROMOSOME HISTORY AND ALTERNATION IN THE FUNGI, 1820—

In this group of parasitic or saprophytic thallophytes we shall find as great a variety in the type of reproductive process as in their mode of nutrition at the expense of the hosts beset by them. At the beginning of last century fungi were commonly supposed to arise spontaneously "out of the superfluous moisture of the earth and rotten wood."

Observations had been made long before this, it is true, sufficient to render improbable the spontaneous generation of the fungi then commonly believed in. Thus Micheli (1729) had raised a fungus mycelium from spores. Ehrenberg (1820) did the same and also saw the conjugation of Sporodina. Du Trochet (1834) proved that the mushroom arises from threads of the mycelium in the soil.

The spontaneous generation of even the simplest of these parasitic or saprophytic thallophytes—the bacteria—had been denied by Leewenhoek at the end of the seventeenth century. In one of his numerous letters to the Royal Society he denies the spontaneous origin of the animalculæ or bacteria which he found in the mouth. These he found present even in the mouths of ladies who clean their teeth carefully! He insists that these organisms are like those he had found in pools of water, and then goes on to say, in a paragraph that reads like a modern health commissioner's report:

Now when people wash their beer mugs and drinking cups in the water from ponds and streams, who can tell how many of these animalculæ may stick to the sides of the glass and thus get into the mouth.

The hazy or bizarre beliefs concerning the occurrence and the mode of reproduction in the fungi, current at the middle of last century, were dispelled by the studies of a group of able investigators

early in the second half of the century. First came the splendid work of the brothers Tulasne (1847–1854) on the smuts and rusts, and their discovery of the oogonium of *Peronospora*. Pringsheim in 1857 studied the sequence in development of the zoosporangia and oogonia of the water moulds. Then came the researches of that master mycologist, Anton de Bary, on the reproductive structures of *Peronospora* (1861), of *Pyronema* and *Sphaerotheca* (1863), and on the life histories of the rusts, 1853 and 1865. The results of his own work and that of his students Woronin and Janczewski convinced de Bary that, in the Ascomycetes, as well as in the phycomycetous *Peronosporas*, the contents of an oogonium is fertilized by the escape into it of the living contents of the antheridial tube that grows beside it.

In the seventies and eighties a vast number of detailed observations concerning reproductive processes in the fungi were accumulated by many observers, led especially by de Bary's student, Brefeld. One outcome of this work which concerns our particular problem, was the insistent, though unconvincing denial by Brefeld of the sexuality of the ascomycetes.

In the last decade of the nineteenth century, with the application of new methods of fixing, sectioning and staining, a new era opened in the study of sexuality in the fungi, an era in which American workers have played a prominent part from the beginning.

As early as 1886 Rosenvinge had succeeded in staining the many nuclei of the mycelial cells of toadstools, also the primary basidium nucleus, and the four spore nuclei arising from this.

Humphrey (1892) and Hartog (1895) followed the history of the nuclei in the antheridium and oogonium of *Saprolegnia*, by the use of stained sections, and con-

cluded, as de Bary had done, that there is no fertilization in these forms. Not until the work of Trow (1904) and Claussen (1908) was it proven that the antheridium of these water molds is sometimes functional, and not always vestigial as de Bary (1881) and Humphrey had thought.

The earliest cytological work on the ascomycetes, after the detection of their nuclei by Schmitz, was that of Dangeard (1894). He described and figured a fusion of two nuclei in the ascus of *Exoascus*, of *Peziza*, of the truffle and others. The source of the two fusing nuclei Dangeard did not trace back farther than the subterminal cell of a hooked hypha from which the ascus arises in *Peziza* and others. The ascus with its fusion nucleus he regarded as an oospore.

In 1895 there was announced from Strasburger's famous laboratory at Bonn a discovery which seemed at one stroke to settle the dispute between de Bary and Brefeld, and to definitely demonstrate the occurrence of a sexual nuclear fusion in the sexual organs seen by de Bary. In that year Harper showed that out of the opened antheridial tube of the hop mildew, *Sphaerotheca*, a male nucleus passes into the oogonium and fuses with its nucleus. The whole behavior of antheridium and oogonium and their contents had every aspect of a real sexual process, as de Bary had asserted in 1863. What made Harper's discovery still more significant was the determination of the fate of the fusion nucleus in relation to the nuclei of the ascus and spores. Harper found that one of the row of 5 or 6 cells resulting from the division of the fertilized oogonium has two nuclei. These two descendants of the diploid nucleus, formed at fertilization, afterward fuse, and the cell containing them swells to form the single ascus of this species. This, presumably tetraploid, fu-

sion nucleus of the ascus then grows and divides three times to give the eight spore nuclei. In the following year or two Harper (1896-97) demonstrated a sexual fusion of the same type at the initiation of the fruits of another mildew, *Erysibe*, and of the saucer fungus, *Ascobolus*. The numerous asci of these forms all arise from binucleate branches of the binucleate, subterminal cell of the fertilized oogonium. Each ascus is at first binucleate, but later, as had been seen by Dangeard (1894), the two fuse and then by division the eight spore nuclei are formed as in *Sphaerotheca*.

In the course of the following decade Harper reported the occurrence of two nuclear fusions, like those of *Sphaerotheca*, and at the same points in the life cycle, in the mildews *Erysibe* (1896) and *Phyllactinia* (1905), and in the saucer fungus *Pyronema* (1900). Moreover, he found in *Phyllactinia* a synopsis and evidences of a double reduction of the chromosome number in the divisions of the presumably tetraploid, fusion nucleus of the ascus. *Pyronema* proved interesting also in having multinucleate gametes, such as were at this time being studied by Stevens in the white rust, *Albugo*. Harper believed that many pairs of male and female nuclei fuse in the oogonium of *Pyronema*.

As the outcome of this whole series of studies by Harper it seemed clear that there is in many ascomycetes an alternation of a haploid generation, the vegetative mycelium, with a diploid generation, the fertilized oogonium and the ascus-forming hyphae arising from it. The second fusion, in the ascus, was regarded as a nutritive phenomenon to provide a nucleus adequate in size for the organization of the relatively huge ascus.

At the opening of the century the observations of a number of workers on the simpler ascomycetes, *e. g.*, by Juel (1902),

Barker (1902), seemed to establish the occurrence of a nuclear fusion in the oogonium in these forms also. This, with Harper's, work made it seem probable that this fusion is a frequent phenomenon throughout the ascomycetes in general.

The researches of certain other cytological workers, however, convinced them that no fusion of nuclei really occurs in the oogonium of the ascomycetes studied by them. Thus Dangeard (1897 and 1907), working on *Sphaerotheca* and *Erysibe*, found no fusion except that in the ascus. Claussen (1907) and Brown (1909) could find no other in the varieties of *Pyronema* studied by them. Both workers find paired nuclei associated in the ascogenous hyphae and finally in the young ascus. Claussen, therefore, regards the fusion in the young ascus as a fusion of descendants of the sexual nuclei that were brought together in the oogonium but did not fuse there. In other words, he thinks it a real sexual fusion which has been deferred. Brown, on the other hand, says that in his plant no antheridial nuclei are concerned, since the antheridium never reaches the oogonium. He therefore regards the fusion of pairs of nuclei, derived from the oogonium, which occurs in the ascus, as one that serves as a substitute for the sexual fusion that primitively occurred in the oogonium. Brown's view is supported further by his work on *Lachnea* (1911), and by Faull's recent work (1912) on certain *Laboulbenias*.

If this view of Brown's be accepted it implies that the original diploid condition of the cells of the sporophyte has been altogether eliminated, except for the brief uninucleate stage of the ascus. In spite of this, however, the whole structure and development of the original $2X$ generation, from fertilized oogonium to mature fruit and ascus, has been retained. This same normal type of vegetative structure, in spite of an ab-

normal chromosome number, has been demonstrated in gametophyte and sporophyte of aposporous and apogamous mosses and ferns. It is implied also in Lewis's suggestion that, in the red seaweeds, the reduction has been postponed from its original location at carpospore-formation over into the primitively haploid tetrasporic phase of the next generation.

Still other recent work on the ascomycetes, however, supports Harper's view that a double fusion frequently occurs in the ascomycetes. Thus Blackman and Fraser (1906), Fraser (1907-08) and Fraser and Brooks (1909), find evidence of several degrees of loss of function of the antheridia in the different species of the cup fungi *Lachnea* and *Humaria*. In those cases where no antheridial nuclei are discharged into the oogonium, nuclei of this organ itself are believed, by these workers, to fuse in pairs within it. The later fusion in the ascus, which they find in common with all workers, they regard as a nutritive phenomenon.

Until toward the end of last century the basidiomycetes were generally assumed not to be sexual. At least no sexual organs had been described for them, with the exception of the spermagonia and aecidia of the plant rusts. These had been called male and female organs, respectively, by Meyen, before the middle of the century. The very first nuclear studies of the rusts and toadstools, however, revealed the occurrence of a nuclear fusion, and at another point in the life history, indications of the complementary process, a reduction, were discovered.

In the case of the rusts Rosen (1892) saw two nuclei in the aecidiospore of certain species. Dangeard and Sapin-Trouffy (1893) reported the occurrence of a nuclear fusion in the teleutospore. Sapin-Trouffy (1896) found that the cells of the aecidium-bearing mycelium are uninucleate up to the

very base of the chain of æcidiospores. Maire (1900) first stated clearly the whole nuclear cycle in rusts: Beginning with the binucleate æcidiospore there follows, in the wheat rust, *e. g.*, the uredo, or rust stage which has a binucleate mycelium and forms binucleate uredospores for several generations. The two nuclei of the young teleutospore, finally formed on this mycelium, fuse as the spore matures. The two divisions of this fusion nucleus in the promycelium give rise to the four nuclei of the four sporidia which germinate to the uninucleate cluster cup mycelium on the barberry. Maire saw in this life history a real alternation of generations, the gametophyte or *X* generation beginning with the sporidium, the sporophyte or *2X* generation, with the mother cell of the æcidiospore chain.

Blackman (1904) and Christman (1905) discovered the origin of the binucleate condition of this mother-cell in species of *Phragmidium*. It there arises by the migration of a nucleus from one cell into another, or by the fusion of the cytoplasm of two cells to form the mother-cell of the spore chain. The two nuclei thus brought together divide simultaneously or conjugately, each contributing a nucleus to the first and to each succeeding spore. This conjugate division of the paired nuclei and their descendants was shown to occur all through the uredo generation up to the formation of the young teleutospore. In the interpretation of their discoveries Blackman and Christman differ more widely than in the facts reported. The former reasserts the surmise of Meyen and believes the basal cells of the spore chain are oogonia which were primitively fertilized by the now functionless spermatia, or pycnospores, produced in separate organs on the barberry leaf. Christman, on the contrary, regards the fusing cells at the base of the æcidium as the primitive, un-

differentiated sexual organs of these fungi. He holds that male and female organs have never become differentiated in this group, and thinks that the spermatia are, or were, propagative cells of the *X* generation.

The observations of many workers on the smuts and on the toadstools have shown the frequent occurrence in them of an association of nuclei and the final fusion of two nuclei in the chlamydospore or the basidium. The time and mode of association of the fusing nuclei, or of their progenitors, are very different in different forms. The fusion, and what appears to be the reduction divisions are, however, constant in location in each species, and are always closely associated. Thus, *e. g.*, the nuclear fusion in the smuts often occurs in the chlamydospore, according to Dangeard (1893) and Rawitscher (1912), and reduction evidently follows immediately in the next developmental phase, when this spore germinates to form the sporidia. In the toadstools, according to Wager (1893), Dangeard (1894), Harper (1902), Nichols (1904) and Levine (1913), the fusion of nuclei occurs in the basidium, and the reduction at the very next division of this fusion product, when the four spore nuclei are formed.

The striking uniformity with which the apparent reduction occurs in all basidiomycetes, at the time of formation of the sporidia or basidiospores, affords good evidence that this type of spore-formation is a long-established one, common to the whole group. It thus supports Brefeld's view that the promycelium of the smuts and rusts is homologous with the basidium of the higher forms. That the point in the life cycle where the associated nuclei finally fuse is the point at which it occurred in the earliest basidiomycetes, is not so clear. The modes of bringing about the first association of the paired nuclei are so varied that

it is difficult to detect any clearly ancestral type among them all. The structures concerned with this process in the æcidium-forming rusts certainly seem most readily comparable with the reproductive organs of other thallophytes. It seems probable that the occurrence of fusion at the same point in all forms is due to its being postponed in all forms, as long as it could be without being pushed over into another phase of the life cycle.

It would be instructive to spend another half hour, as we can not do here, in considering those peculiar short cuts in reproduction known as apogamy and apospory. These phenomena are so patently secondary, and so relatively infrequent, that they can not be looked to for evidence of fundamental importance concerning the history or the significance of the essential sexual process itself. Their study has, however, served to correct certain false assumptions concerning the relation between the difference in chromosome number, and the difference in structure, of the two generations. For example, the apogamous production, by *Nephrodium molle*, of a normal fern sporophyte with the X number of chromosomes, demonstrates, as no other kind of evidence could, that de Vries was right in regarding the normal sporophyte as really two beings in one. Incidentally too, such phenomena suggest how comparatively unimportant it is, for the structure of the plant, in what manner, and at what point in the life history, the association of the $2X$ number of chromosomes is brought about.

CONCLUSION

In our rapid glance at the progress made in the study of this problem, during twenty centuries, we have seen how for eighteen centuries men attempted to solve the problem by recourse to philosophical reasoning, without the aid of detailed observation or

experiment. Then, in less than two centuries, by the use of these means, Camerarius proved that pollination is a necessary condition of seed-formation; Koelreuter demonstrated that characters from both parents appear in hybrid offspring; Amici, Schmitz and Strasburger showed how the mingling of parental qualities is made possible by the approximation and fusion of parental protoplasts and nuclei.

The sexuality which was first suspected, and first experimentally proven, in the seed-plants, has now been demonstrated in all groups of plants save the bacteria and their allies. The primary feature of the process, the union of two parental nuclei, is the same in all. The method of bringing together the two nuclei varies widely, this variation sometimes involving even the complete disappearance of externally recognizable sexual organs. During the evolution of plants old methods of accomplishing the approximation of the nuclei have been discarded, and new methods have arisen. In the latter case a fusion of nuclei of closer kinship has often been substituted for the primitive one of more distantly related nuclei. This seems evidently the case, for example in the apogamous ascomycetes, perhaps also in the basidiomycetes, and surely so in the cases of nuclear fusion in the prothallia and in the sporangia of apogamous ferns.

In the process of fertilization, as we understand it at present, there are brought together two distinct sets of chromosomes, which in the nuclear divisions of the sporophyte, or $2X$ generation, are often found associated in pairs. The exact manner in which these chromosomes become paired, and the possibility of their attaining any more intimate association, either in the resting reticulum or in synapsis, are not yet definitely determined. If, as is indicated by Mendelian phenomena, and as is demon-

strated cytologically to the satisfaction of many workers, there is no loss of identity of the chromosomes in the sporophyte, then there is no very significant fusion at any point in the life cycle in consequence of the sexual process. Members of the two sets of chromosomes may be interchanged or shuffled, probably at synapsis, and thus new sets or combinations be formed in the haploid nuclei at reduction. These new combinations, however, are still made up of the same discrete individual chromosomes.

The essence of the sexual process then, as far as yet morphologically demonstrated, consists not of a real fusion, but merely of a temporary association, followed by a re-assortment at sporogenesis, of those ultimate, inheritance-bearing units—the chromosomes.

DUNCAN S. JOHNSON

BALTIMORE, MD.

LITERATURE REFERRED TO

- Allen, C. E. 1905. *Ber. d. deutsch. bot. Gesell.*, 23, p. 285.
- Allen, R. F. 1911. *Trans. Wis. Acad. Sci.*, 17, p. 1.
- Amici, G. B. 1823. *Mem. Soc. Ital.*, 19, 1823. (Also *Ann. d. Sc. Nat.*, 2, pp. 41, 211, 1824.)
1847. *Atti Scienz. Ital.*, 1846, p. 542. (Also *Ann. d. Sc. Nat.*, 7, p. 193, 1847, or *Flora*, 30, p. 249, 1847.)
- Barker, P. T. B. 1903. *Ann. of Bot.*, 17, p. 167.
- deBary A. 1852. *Bot. Zeit.*, 10, p. 473.
1853. "Untersuchungen ueb. d. Brandpilze," etc., Berlin.
1861. *Bot. Zeit.*, 19, p. 89.
1863. "Ueb. d. Fruchtentw. d. Ascomycet.," Leipzig.
1881. "Beit. zur Morph. u. Physiol. d. Pilze," IV., Frankfurt a. M., 1904.
- Blackman, V. H. *Ann. of Bot.*, 18, p. 323.
1898. *Phil. Trans. B.*, 190, p. 395.
- Blackman, V. H., and Fraser, H. C. I. 1906. *Proc. Roy. Soc. B.*, 77, p. 354.
- Bower, F. O. 1890. *Ann. of Bot.*, 4, p. 347.
- Braun, A. 1875. "Ber. d. kongl. Akad. Wiss." Berlin, p. 297.
- Brown, W. H. 1909. *Johns Hopkins Univ. Circul.*, 6, p. 42.
1911. *Bot. Gaz.*, 52, p. 275.
- Camerarius, R. J. "De Sexu Plantarum Epistola," Tübingen, 1694.
- Celakowski, L. 1868. *Sitzb. kgl. boehm. Gesell. Wiss.*, p. 151.
1877. *Sitzb. kgl. boehm. Gesell. Wiss.*, p. 151.
- Chmielewski, V. 1890. *Bot. Zeit.*, 48, p. 773.
- Christman, A. H. 1905. *Bot. Gaz.*, 39, p. 267.
1907. *Bot. Gaz.*, 44, p. 81.
- Claussen, P. 1907. *Ber. d. deutsch. bot. Gesell.*, 25, p. 586.
1908. *Ber. d. deutsch. Gesell.*, 26, p. 144.
- Coker, W. C. 1903. *Bot. Gaz.*, 36, p. 1.
- Coulter, J. M., and Land, W. J. G. 1905. *Bot. Gaz.*, 39, p. 161.
- Dangeard, P. A. 1894. *Le Botaniste*, 4, pp. 21, 62, 119.
1897. *Le Botaniste*, 5, p. 245.
1907. *Le Botaniste*, 10, p. 1.
- Dangeard, P. A., and Sapin-Trouffy, P. 1893^a. *Comptes Rendus*, 116, p. 267.
- 1893^b. *Comptes Rendus*, 117, p. 496.
- Davis, B. M. 1903. *Ann. of Bot.*, 17, p. 477.
- Farmer, J. B. 1894. *Ann. of Bot.*, 8, p. 35.
- Faull, J. H. 1912. *Ann. of Bot.*, 26, p. 325.
- Flemming, W. 1880. *Archiv f. mikro. Anat.*, 18, p. 151.
- Fraser, H. C. I. 1907. *Ann. of Bot.*, 21, p. 349.
1908. *Ann. of Bot.*, 22, p. 35.
- Fraser, H. C. I., and Brooks, W. E. St. J. 1909. *Ann. of Bot.*, 23, p. 537.
- Gaertner, K. F. 1849. "Versuche und beobachtungen ueb. d. Bastarderzeugung im Pflanzenreich," Stuttgart, 1849.
- Gates, R. R. 1911. *Bot. Gaz.*, 51, p. 321.
- Gjurasin, S. 1893. *Ber. d. deutsch. bot. Gesell.*, 11, p. 113.
- Goroschankin, J. 1883. "Befruchtungs Process b. *Pinus pumilio*," Strassburg.
- Gregoire, V. 1910. *La Cellule*, 26, p. 223.
- Grew, N. 1682. "Anatomy of Plants," London.
- Guignard, L. 1889. *Bull. Soc. bot. d. France*, 36, p. 206.
1891. *Ann. d. Sci. Nat.*, Ser. VII., 14, p. 163.
- Hanstein, J. 1880. *Bot. Abhandl.*, 4, p. 1.
- Harper, R. A. 1895^a. *Ber. d. deutsch. bot. Gesell.*, 13, p. 67.
- 1895^b. *Ber. d. d. bot. Gesell.*, 13, p. 475.
1896. *Jahrb. f. w. Bot.*, 29, p. 656.
1902. *Bot. Gaz.*, 33, p. 1.
1905. *Carnegie Inst. of Wash.*, Publication 37.
- Hartog, M. 1895. *Trans. Roy. Irish Acad.*, 30, p. 649.
- Haupt, P., and Toy, C. H. 1899. "The Sacred

- Books of the Old Test.—Ezekiel," pp. 182, 183; Pl. I. Leipzig.
- Hofmeister, W. 1847. *Bot. Zeit.*, 5, p. 785.
1849. *Ann. d. Sci. Nat.*, 11, p. 375.
1851. "Vergleichende Untersuchungen d. Keimung, Entfalt. u. Fruchtbild. Hoeh. Kryptog.," Leipzig.
1857. *Abh. Kngl. Sachs. Gesell.*, 5, pp. 121, 603.
1867. *Die Lehre v. d. Pflanzenzelle*, p. 82, Leipzig.
- Hoyt, W. D. 1910. *Bot. Gaz.*, 49, p. 55.
- Humphrey, J. E. 1892. *Trans. Amer. Phil. Soc.*, 12, Pt. III., p. 63.
- Janczewski, E. vG. 1871. *Bot. Zeit.*, 29, p. 257.
1895. *Ann. of Bot.*, 9, p. 561.
- Juel, H. O. 1902. *Flora*, 91, p. 41.
- Klebahn, H. 1891. *Jahrb.*, 22, p. 415.
1892. *Jahrb.*, 24, p. 235.
1896. *Jahrb. f. w. Bot.*, 29, p. 595.
- Klebs, G. 1896. "Die Bedingungen d. Fortpflanzung einigen Algen u. Pilzen," Jena.
- Koelreuter, J. G. 1761. "Vorläufige Nachricht u. einig. d. Geschlechtsd. Pflanzenbetreffenden Versuchen u. Beobachtungen," Leipzig. (Also in *Ostwald Klassiker, d. exak. Wiss.*, 41, Leipzig, 1893.)
- Lawson, A. A. 1911. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb.*, 47, p. 591.
1912. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinb.*, 48, p. 137.
- Lewis, I. F. 1909. *Ann. of Bot.*, 23, p. 639.
1912. *Bot. Gaz.*, 53, p. 236.
- Maire, R. 1900. *Jour. de Bot.*, 14, p. 80.
1903. *Bull. Soc. Mycol. de France*, 18, p. 1.
- Mohl, H. 1847. *Bot. Zeit.*, 5, p. 465.
1863. *Bot. Zeit.*, 21, Beilage, p. 7.
- Moore, J. E. S. 1895. *Ann. of Bot.*, 9, p. 435.
- Nichols, G. E. 1910. *Beihf. z. bot. Centbl.*, 25, p. 201.
- Nichols, S. P. 1904. *Trans. Wisc. Acad. Sci.*, 15, p. 35.
- Oltmanns, F. 1898. *Bot. Zeit.*, 56, p. 99.
- Overton, E. 1893a. *Vierteljahreschr. Naturf. Gesell.*, Zurich, 38, p. 169.
- 1893b. *Ann. of Bot.*, 7, p. 139.
- Overton, J. B. 1909. *Ann. of Bot.*, 23, p. 19.
- Pringsheim, N. 1856. *Monatsb. Kgl. Akad. d. Wiss.*, Berlin, May, 1856, p. 2.
1877. *Jahrb. f. Wiss. Bot.*, 11, p. 1.
- Rawitscher, F. 1912. *Zeit. f. Bot.*, 4, p. 673.
- Rawlinson, H. C. 1866. "Hist. Inscript. of Chaldaea, Assyria and Babylonia, II., 46; No. 2, 11, 29, 30, London.
- Rosen, F. 1892. *Cohn Beit. z. Biol. d. Pflanzen*, 6, p. 237.
- Rosenvinge, L. K. 1886. *Ann. d. Sci. Nat.*, Ser. VII., 3, p. 75.
- Russow, E. 1872. *Mem. Acad. imp. d. Sci.*, St. Petersburg, Ser. VII., 19, p. 1.
- Sapin-Trouffy, P. 1896. *Le Botaniste*, 5, p. 59.
- Schleiden, M. J. 1838. *Nova Acta*, 19, Abt. 1., p. 29.
1843. *Gründzüge d. wiss. Botanik*, 2.
- Schmiedel, C. C. 1747. *Icones Plantarum*, p. 85, Erlangen.
- Schmitz, F. 1879. *Sitzb. d. Niederrh. Gesell. f. Natur. u. Heilk.*, 36, p. 367.
- Scott, D. H. 1896. *Proc. Brit. Ass. Ad. Sci.*, Liverpool Meet., p. 992.
1911. "The Evolution of Plants," New York.
- Shaw, W. 1898. *Ann. of Bot.*, 12, p. 261.
- Stout, A. B. 1912. *Arch. f. Zellforsch.* 9, p. 114.
- Strasburger, E. 1875. "Zellbildung u. Zellteilung," 1st edit., Jena.
1877. *Jenaischer Zeitschr.*, 11, p. 435.
1884. "Neue Untersuch. u. d. Befruchtungsvorg. u. s. w.," Jena.
1894. *Ann. of Bot.*, 8, p. 281.
1904. *Sitzb. Berlin Ak. Sc.*, 18, p. 587.
1905. *Jahrb. f. wiss. Bot.*, 42, p. 1.
1906. *Bot. Zeit.*, 64, p. 2.
- Svedelius, N. 1911. *Svenske Bot. Tidskr.*, 5, p. 260.
- Thuret, G. A. 1853. *Mem. Soc. d. Sc. Nat. Cherbours*, 1, p. 161.
- Tröndle, A. 1911. *Zeit. f. Bot.*, 3, p. 593.
- Trow, A. H. 1904. *Ann. of Bot.*, 18, p. 541.
- Tulasne, L. R., and C. 1847. *Ann. d. Sci. Nat.*, Ser. III., 7, p. 12.
1854. *Comptes Rendus*, 38, p. 1101.
- Unger, F. 1834. *Flora*, 17, p. 145.
1837. *Nova Acta*, 18, Pt. II., p. 687.
- deVries, H. 1903. *Befruchtung u. Bastardierung*, Leipzig.
- Williams, J. L. 1904. *Ann. of Bot.*, 18, pp. 141, 183.
- Wolfe, J. J. 1904. *Ann. of Bot.*, 18, p. 609.
- Yamanouchi, S. 1906. *Bot. Gaz.*, 42, p. 401.
1908. *Bot. Gaz.*, 45, p. 289.
1909. *Bot. Gaz.*, 47, p. 173.
1912. *Bot. Gaz.*, 54, p. 441.
- Wagner, H. 1893. *Ann. of Bot.*, 7, p. 489.

DR. J. J. RIVERS

WITH the death of this aged naturalist in southern California last December, one of the last links joining the present with the beginning of modern science has been broken. Born in England in the early twenties of the last

century, his childhood days were those of Murchison and Lyell, the years of his young manhood those of Darwin, Dana and Hall, while Hugh Miller, Huxley and Tyndall were his contemporaries. His place in middle-class English life and the possession of private means made it unnecessary for him to devote himself to the practise of his profession, and his interest in the awakened spirit of research kept him busy in the fields of discovery during the half century of his life in England. His first contribution to science was a paper read before a society in Birmingham in 1840. The writer has in manuscript one of his lectures read before a similar body in London in 1863, with the names of Stafford, Hardin, Fay, Spence-Bate, Hodges and Scrivener, in the discussion on the evidences of the antiquity of man, in which he places this age conservatively at 100,000 years. This epitome of results attained a half century ago gives sufficient data to indicate his place in the thought of his time.

He was preeminently an entomologist, adding several new species to British Lepidoptera and Coleoptera. In this pursuit he was in personal touch with Darwin. He came to this country in 1868 and after a few years went to the Pacific coast. Here the Le Contes found him, and by their influence he was made curator of the museum in the University of California. While in this service it was said of him that his ability was sufficient for any office in the institution, even for its presidency. Under his guidance was trained a group of young students who have since won an honorable place as men of science.

He resigned when past seventy, and for twenty years was the Hugh Miller of the California coast, gathering insects and fossils all the way from San Diego to Santa Barbara. His collection of insects was purchased about ten years ago for the German Royal Museum in Berlin. His collection of Cenozoic marine fossils of over 200,000 specimens, classified by himself, was purchased for Beloit and Pomona Colleges, and forms an invaluable part of their illustrative material.

He lived his own life, neither seeking nor shunning publicity. To the world he was only

a gray old man; to those whom he knew and cared for, the most delightful companion. He added about a score of species of insects and mollusks to the world of knowledge and something like the same number have received the name *riversii* in his honor. Without relatives, in an alien land, his life went out amid a small circle of very dear friends.

IRA M. BUELL

LOGAN MUSEUM, BELOIT COLLEGE,
BELOIT, WISCONSIN

THE FOURTH INTERNATIONAL BOTANICAL CONGRESS, LONDON, 1915

THE second circular for the Fourth International Botanical Congress to be held at London, 1915, has been just received. As the time when motions and resolutions must be ready is short the circular is reprinted below entire, excepting that only the American members of the committees are mentioned.

The Nomenclature Section of the Third International Botanical Congress, held at Brussels in 1910, carried towards completion the work of the Vienna Congress (1905) on the international rules governing questions of nomenclature. The combined result of the decisions reached at Vienna and Brussels has been published in the second edition of the "Rules of Botanical Nomenclature." There remain, however, certain points which have to be settled by the Nomenclature Section of the London Congress in 1915.

The program of work for 1915 was defined by the Congress of 1910 as follows:

1. To fix the starting-point for the nomenclature of
 - (a) Schizomycetes (Bacteria);
 - (b) Schizophyceæ (excepting Nostocaceæ);
 - (c) Flagellatæ;
 - (d) Bacillariaceæ (Diatomaceæ).
2. To compile lists of nomina generica utique conservanda for
 - (a) Schizomycetes;
 - (b) Algæ (incl. Schizophyceæ, Flagellatæ, etc.); new lists for groups not included in the list of 1910 and also a supplementary list;
 - (c) Fungi;
 - (d) Lichens;
 - (e) Bryophyta.
3. Compilation of a double list of nomina gener-

generica utique conservanda for the use of paleobotanists.

4. Discussion of motions relating to new points which were not settled by the rules adopted at Vienna in 1905 and at Brussels in 1910.

The carrying out of this work has been entrusted to two committees under the direction of a rapporteur général, Dr. J. Briquet (Geneva), assisted by a vice-rapporteur, Professor H. Harms (Berlin). In the compilation of the lists of nomina conservanda, the rapporteur général will have the assistance of a certain number of editors in each committee. On these several committees the following names of American botanists are found:

Dr. A. Evans, New Haven, Conn., U. S. A. (Hepatics).

Professor A. J. Grout, 360 Lenox Road, Brooklyn, N. Y., U. S. A. (Mosses).

Dr. J. C. Arthur, Purdue University, Lafayette, Ind., U. S. A. (Fungi).

Professor G. F. Atkinson, Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y., U. S. A. (Fungi).

Professor W. G. Farlow, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass., U. S. A. (Fungi).

Dr. R. Thaxter, Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass., U. S. A. (Fungi).

F. S. Collins, 97 Dexter St., Malden, Mass., U. S. A. (Algæ).

Dr. F. H. Knowlton, U. S. National Museum, Washington, D. C., U. S. A. (Paleobotany).

Ch. D. White, U. S. National Museum, Washington, D. C., U. S. A. (Paleobotany).

The circular further explains as follows:

The functions and program of work of these committees are as follows:

1. The Rules of Nomenclature adopted at Vienna in 1905 and at Brussels in 1910 remain in force. Additions may be made to the present code only: (1) in the form of rules bearing on new points not covered by the decisions of 1905 and 1910; (2) in the compilation of supplementary lists of nomina generica utique conservanda, and in fixing the starting-point for the nomenclature of special groups, as stated above.

2. All motions must be presented in the form of additional articles to the rules of 1905-1910, drawn up in French in the form adopted in the International Code now in use. Lists of nomina generica must be drawn up in conformity with the scheme adopted in the "Rules," edition 2.

3. Motions must be drafted as briefly as possible in Latin, French, German, English or Italian. So

far as possible, statistics should be supplied, indicating the consequences of the proposed motions.

4. At least sixty printed copies of the motion with the grounds of support must be sent to the rapporteur général before April 30, 1914.

5. Motions will be communicated by the rapporteur général to the members of the committees as they arrive. The members of the committees who were nominated at Brussels in 1910 are regarded as having accepted nomination, unless they have expressly signified the contrary to the rapporteur. Committees may, in case of necessity, co-opt new members who are specially competent.

6. The rapporteur général will draw up, after May 31, 1914, a critical and classified résumé of the motions which have been submitted and of the lists compiled by the special editors. He will submit this résumé to each member of the committees, and will draft on the basis of the collected motions a supplement to the Rules of Nomenclature. The work of the committees and of the rapporteur will be completed by November 30, 1914.

7. This supplement to the "Rules" will be sent out before January 1, 1915, to the principal botanical societies, and to the important botanical institutions in the different countries, and also to the principal periodicals, particularly those which specialize in cryptogamic botany and paleobotany.

8. Motions which reach the rapporteur after April 30, 1914, can be submitted to the Nomenclature Section of the Congress, only on condition that at least one hundred printed copies are sent to the president of the Section before the opening of the discussion, and that a majority of two thirds of those voting is in favor of their acceptance. New motions presented during the discussion can be admitted only if a majority of two thirds of those voting is in favor of their acceptance, and will not be voted upon until the next day.

9. The rapporteur will preserve all the documents which have been used in the elaboration of the proposed supplement to the "Rules of Nomenclature." These documents will be available for the use of the Congressists in London.

10. The revision of the Rules of Nomenclature has already occupied three congresses, namely, at Paris, Vienna and Brussels, and by 1915 the rapporteur général will have followed their details for fifteen years. It is highly desirable from all points of view that this work should be completed in London in 1915, and should cease to occupy the International Botanical Congresses. We, therefore, urgently beg botanists in general, and cryptogamists and paleobotanists in particular, to examine

carefully these points which still require consideration, and to formulate their propositions in such a manner that nothing may be left over for 1920.

11. A later circular will supply detailed information on the internal organization of the Nomenclature Section of the Congress so far as concerns the nomination of delegates, the discussion of motions, and the propositions of the Committees; also on the method of voting.

American botanists should remember the following addresses:

Dr. J. Briquet, Botanical Garden, Geneva, Switzerland—rapporteur général.

Dr. A. B. Rendle, British Museum (Nat. His.), London, Eng.—general secretary.

THE AMERICAN SOCIETY OF NATURALISTS

MEMBERS of the American Society of Naturalists, in common with other scientific societies, have been invited by the organizing committee of the Nineteenth International Congress of Americanists to participate to the fullest extent possible in the important session to be held by the Congress in Washington October 5-10, 1914.

Following the meetings there will be a very instructive trip, including visits to the museums of Philadelphia, New York, Brooklyn and Cambridge, to the museum and mounds at Columbus, Ohio, and to the museums of Chicago and Davenport; and finally there will be an extension of the trip to Denver, Santa Fé, and certain cliff-dwelling as well as other archeological remains of Colorado and New Mexico, terminating with a pre-arranged visit of scientific interest to the living Pueblo Indians.

Requests for further information and applications for membership in the Congress should be addressed to the secretary of the Congress, Dr. A. Hrdlička, United States National Museum, Washington.

BRADLEY M. DAVIS,
Secretary

SCIENTIFIC NOTES AND NEWS

THE present issue of SCIENCE is the thousandth number of the new series.

DR. HERMANN M. BIGGS was the guest of honor at a dinner given by two hundred of his professional colleagues in New York on February 7. Among the speakers were Professor William H. Welch of Johns Hopkins University, Dr. William H. Park, Mr. Robert W. De Forest and Borough President Marks.

AT the commemoration day exercises of the Johns Hopkins University, a portrait was presented of Dr. Edward H. Griffin, professor of philosophy, to mark the twenty-fifth anniversary of his professorship.

THE Rumford Committee of the American Academy has made the following appropriations: To Alpheus W. Smith, of Ohio State University, \$100 for his research on the Hall and Nernst effects in the rare metals; to Charles G. Abbot, of the Smithsonian Institution, \$150 for his research on the application of solar heat to domestic purposes.

PROFESSOR WALLACE W. ATWOOD, formerly of the University of Chicago, has taken up his new work at Harvard University. His address will now be Harvard University, care of University Museum, Cambridge, Mass.

PROFESSOR A. N. TALBOT, in charge of theoretical and applied mechanics at the University of Illinois, has been appointed chairman of the joint committee on stresses in railway track and subgrade of the American Society of Civil Engineers and the American Railway Engineering Association.

DR. M. G. DONK, of the bureau of chemistry, has been detailed by the department of agriculture to cooperate with the department of forestry at the University of Idaho in investigations looking to better methods of utilizing mill waste and refining by-products obtained from stumps. The work will be a continuation and extension of experiments which have been carried on for the past three years by Dr. C. H. Shattuck, head of the department of forestry at Moscow.

SIR FRANCIS DARWIN delivered the first Galton anniversary lecture on February 16. The subject of the lecture was Francis Galton.

IN the latter part of January, Dr. Arthur L. Day, director of the geophysical laboratory of

the Carnegie Institution of Washington, gave a course of five lectures before the graduate students of the geological department of the University of Chicago, on the genesis of minerals, eutectics and the volcanic gases of Kilauea. These were followed by a course of six lectures by Dr. John Johnston, of the same institution, on the chemical and physical effects of pressure and their bearing on certain geological problems. Both courses were also attended by the graduate students of allied scientific departments and by members of the staff.

DR. SHOSUKE SATO, of the Imperial University of Sapporo, Japan, will give a series of lectures at the University of Illinois, April 13 to 25. The general subject is "Fifty Years' Progress in Japan."

ON February 11, Mr. E. J. Mehren, editor of *The Engineering Record*, delivered a lecture before the college of engineering of the University of Illinois on "The Making of a Technical Journal." Mr. Mehren graduated from the University of Illinois in Civil Engineering in 1906.

PROFESSOR ARTHUR H. BLANCHARD, of Columbia University, on February 14 delivered illustrated lectures at the University of West Virginia on the subjects: "Park Boulevards," "Bituminous Surfaces and Bituminous Pavements," "Wood Block and Stone Block Pavements" and "Modern Developments in Highway Engineering in Europe."

Two years' salary, amounting to \$5,570, was voted by the United States Senate on February 12, to the widow of Dr. Thomas W. McClintock, of the United States Public Health Service, who died from spotted fever contracted while he was making researches into the cause and cure of the disease.

We learn from *Nature* that it has been decided to prepare for publication a biography of the late Sir William H. White, K.C.B., the eminent naval constructor. Mr. J. B. Capper, to whom the work has been entrusted, will be grateful for any material in the shape either of correspondence or of reminiscence throw-

ing light upon Sir William White's personality or work. Letters will be carefully preserved, copied and returned. Communications of all kinds should be addressed to Mr. Capper, care of Sir Henry Trueman Wood, secretary of the Royal Society of Arts, John Street, Adelphi, London, W.C.

DR. ROBERT KENNEDY DUNCAN, director of the Mellon Institute for Industrial Research of the University of Pittsburgh, died on February 18, in his forty-sixth year.

PROFESSOR WILLIAM WHITMAN BAILEY, professor emeritus of botany at Brown University, died at Providence on February 20, aged seventy-one years.

DR. ARTHUR HENRY PIERCE, professor of psychology at Smith College, died at Northampton on February 20, aged forty-four years.

DR. LAZARUS SCHÖNEY, a surgeon and scientific author, died at Coney Island, New York, on February 18, aged seventy-six years.

DR. R. T. ORMOND, known for his work in meteorology, died at Edinburgh on January 27.

THE sixth semi-annual meeting of the American Institute of Chemical Engineers will be held at Troy, N. Y., June 17-20, 1914.

OWING to the agitation to prevent the success of the International Congress of Ophthalmology to be held in St. Petersburg, Russia, on account of the exclusion of some and the restrictions imposed on other Jewish members, the following announcement was made early in January by Professor Bellarminoff, the head of the congress at St. Petersburg: "The minister of the interior has granted, without exception, unhindered entrance into the empire and unlimited stay to all members of the Twelfth International Congress of Ophthalmology."

THE program for the twenty-eighth year of the botanical seminar of the University of Nebraska has been issued, giving the papers which will be presented before some twenty meetings that will be held in the course of the year.

WE learn from the *British Medical Journal*

that the oldest medical school in Australia, that of the University of Melbourne, will celebrate its jubilee this year. Work actually commenced in 1861, but its original buildings were not opened till two years later. The roll of medical graduates already numbers about 1,100, and over 380 medical students are now attending full courses of instruction. The Melbourne School has from the first insisted on a five years' course, and its degrees have been registrable in the United Kingdom since 1890. The celebrations will commence on Thursday, April 30, and will last three days. The university is asking the state government to provide funds for the appointment of assistant professors of anatomy, physiology and pathology, the proper staffing of these departments being regarded by the faculty of medicine as the most pressing want of the school. Old graduates are being invited to contribute varying sums annually for five years to a permanent fund, the interest of which will be devoted to the promotion of clinical research in the teaching hospitals of Melbourne. A history of the medical school is being prepared. The jubilee celebrations will include an inaugural ceremony in the Wilson Hall of the university; a series of short addresses on the history, needs and prospects of the school; demonstrations in the laboratories, museums and hospitals; a jubilee dinner, and a theater party. A portrait of the dean of the faculty, Sir H. B. Allen, is to be painted for presentation to the university.

THE conclusions reached as a result of six years' exploration in the Yukon-Tanana region, Alaska, by L. M. Prindle, have been published by the United States Geological Survey in Bulletin 525. It has been found that the placer-gold reserves of the Fairbanks district, even if only those deposits that can be mined by methods now in use are considered, are still very large. There are, however, still larger deposits of auriferous gravels whose content of gold is so small that they can be profitably handled only by improved methods of mining. These facts and the existence within the district of extensive alluvial deposits, which have not been thoroughly prospected,

make the outlook for placer mining in the region exceedingly hopeful. It is therefore by no means certain that the placer-mining industry will continue to decline as it has declined in the last two years. A large expansion of the industry in this field can be brought about only by lessening the operating costs through improved means of communication. As compared with alluvial mining, the lode-mining operations have been insignificant and have yielded no great body of facts upon which to base conclusions as to the persistence of the lodes. The facts presented in the report, however, show that the geologic conditions on the whole appear to be favorable to the occurrence of lode deposits and that these are not limited to the localities near Fairbanks which have been prospected.

THE International Conference on the Safety of Life at Sea, first suggested by the German emperor and convened by the British government, has now held its final meeting. We learn from *Nature* that as a result of its labors, a very important convention has been signed by plenipotentiaries of the following states: The British Empire, including Australia, Canada and New Zealand, which were represented separately, Germany, France, the United States, Austria-Hungary, Italy, Spain, Sweden, Norway, Holland, Belgium and Denmark. The text of the convention has not yet been published, but the chairman of the conference, Lord Mersey, has outlined its principal points in a speech moving its acceptance by the delegates. The convention must be ratified by the different states prior to December 31, 1914, and comes into force on July 1, 1915. An international service is to be established and placed under the control of the United States for the purpose of ice patrol and observation and for the destruction of derelicts in the North Atlantic. The masters of all vessels are to cooperate with this service. Safety of construction has been dealt with under the headings of "New vessels," and "Existing vessels." The convention provides that the degree of safety shall increase in a regular and continuous manner with the length of the vessel, and that vessels shall be as effi-

ciently subdivided as is possible having regard to the nature of the services for which they are intended. The convention provides that all merchant vessels of the contracting states when engaged upon international (including colonial) voyages, whether steamers or sailing vessels, and whether they carry passengers or not, must be equipped with wireless telegraphy apparatus if they have on board fifty persons or more (except where the number is exceptionally and temporarily increased to fifty or more owing to causes beyond the master's control). There are certain exemptions to this regulation. A continuous watch for wireless telegraphy purposes is to be kept by all vessels required to be fitted with wireless apparatus, as soon as the government of the state to which the vessels belong is satisfied that such watch will be useful for the purpose of saving life at sea. Meanwhile certain classes of vessels are specified as being required to maintain a continuous watch. The wireless installations must have a range of at least 100 miles. A transition period is provided to enable wireless apparatus to be fitted and operators and watchers obtained. The convention lays it down that there must be accommodation in lifeboats or their equivalents for all persons on board, and that as large a number as possible of the boats and rafts must be capable of being launched on either side of the ship, so that as few as possible need be launched on the weatherside. The convention specifies a minimum number of members of the crew competent to handle the boats and rafts. All ships are to have an adequate system of lighting, so that in an emergency the passengers may easily find their way to the exits from the interior of the ship. Ships of the contracting states which comply with the requirements of the convention are to have furnished to them certificates of the fact, which are to be accepted by all the states as having the same value as the certificates issued by them to their own ships.

UNIVERSITY AND EDUCATIONAL NEWS

LEHIGH UNIVERSITY will receive about \$800,000 under an adjudication of the eleventh ac-

count of the executors and trustees of the estate of Asa M. Packer.

THE Mask and Wig Club of the University of Pennsylvania has completed plans for the erection of a residence, to be presented to the university for the use of the provost. The building will cost between \$75,000 and \$100,000.

MISS EMILY MATILDA EASTON has by her will made a number of public bequests including £10,000 to the College of Medicine of the University of Durham and £5,000 to Armstrong.

SIR WILLIAM MACDONALD, of Montreal, has been elected chancellor of McGill University, in succession to the late Lord Strathcona.

DR. FORCHHEIM, professor in the Graz Technological School, has accepted the commission to organize a technical school at Constantinople.

THE following appointments for the faculty of George Peabody College for Teachers, the new Teachers College of the South, have been announced: Carter Alexander, Ph.D., professor of school administration (formerly assistant professor of educational administration, University of Missouri); Lula O. Andrews, A.M., assistant professor of English (formerly professor of English language, State Normal School, Farmville, Va.); John Lee Coulter, Ph.D., professor of rural economics (at present with the United States Census Bureau); Kary C. Davis, Ph.D., professor of agriculture (formerly professor of agronomy and principal of agricultural short courses, of the State Agricultural College of New Jersey); Frederic B. Dresslar, Ph.D., professor of school architecture and hygiene (formerly special agent of the United States Bureau of Education); Charles E. Little, Ph.D., professor of the teaching of Latin (formerly professor of Latin in the old Peabody College); Robert W. Selvidge, A.M., professor of manual and industrial arts (formerly professor of manual arts at the University of Missouri); Edward K. Strong, Jr., Ph.D., professor of psychology and psychology of education (now in the department of psychology, Columbia Univer-

sity); William K. Tate, A.M., professor of rural education (now professor of elementary education, University of South Carolina).

DISCUSSION AND CORRESPONDENCE

GRADUATE WORK IN AMERICAN UNIVERSITIES

THE university registration statistics published by Professor Tombo in *SCIENCE*, January 23, 1914, allow, among other things, an interesting and instructive comparison of the amount of graduate work being done in the thirty universities tabulated. The number of non-professional graduate students may be taken to represent the amount of research that is being done in a university, for, in general, a university will attract non-professional graduate students in proportion to the activity in the graduate departments. The relative amount of emphasis laid on graduate work in each university can be seen at a glance in the table below, where the thirty universities in question are arranged in rank according to the ratio of non-professional graduates to undergraduates, *i. e.*, the number of graduates to every hundred undergraduates. The first column gives the ratio of graduates to undergraduates based on the figures given under "College, Men," "College, Women" and "Non-professional Graduate Schools" in Professor Tombo's table.¹ The second column gives the total enrollment listed under these three heads, corresponding presumably with the enrollment of purely academic students.

University	Ratio	Total Students
1. Johns Hopkins	123	397
2. Pennsylvania	107	1,504
3. Columbia	102	2,960
4. New York	52	1,076
5. Illinois	32	1,097
6. Chicago	28	2,183
7. Cornell	28	1,435
8. Cincinnati	27	789
9. Yale	24	1,736
10. Wisconsin	18	1,894
11. Harvard	17	3,403
12. California	15	3,146
13. Washington	15	438
14. Princeton	14	1,443

¹ *SCIENCE*, p. 126.

15. Ohio State	13	1,018
16. Nebraska	13	1,443
17. Pittsburgh	12	438
18. Iowa	11	1,293
19. Virginia	11	439
20. Michigan	9	2,745
21. Missouri	9	1,473
22. Minnesota	8	1,648
23. Stanford	8	1,877
24. Tulane	8	345
25. Northwestern	8	1,173
26. Indiana	7	1,200
27. Kansas	6	1,729
28. Syracuse	6	1,415
29. Texas	5	1,597
30. Western Reserve	1	826

The ranking of the universities obviously does not correspond in every case with the amount of productive scholarship that is issuing from a university, but, as far as the enrollment figures are correct, it would seem to indicate the relative emphasis that is being put upon graduate work. A correlation of the totals as given in the second column with the ratios of the first column gives a coefficient of about .046, or practically no correlation at all. This might be interpreted to mean that the universities possessing enormous undergraduate departments do not as a rule show an increased activity in graduate work, such as the number of undergraduates should warrant, presuming, of course, that the ultimate ideal of a university is held to be productive scholarship.

RUDOLF PINTNER

OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY

THE CAUSE OF THE PECULIAR SOUND MADE BY NIGHTHAWKS WHEN VOLPLANING

ALL are familiar with the resonant sound made by the nighthawk as he cavorts through the air. It may be described as a guttural "woof."

It has been a contested point as to whether this sound was produced by the open mouth or the wings. As it occurs at the point where the bird swerves upward in his downward glide and at no other time, it is very evident that the mouth plays no part, otherwise the sound would occur at other times.

While on Mt. Constitution, Orcas Island, Washington, about five o'clock in the evening one summer, Mark Said and I were watching the nighthawks in their tortuous flight. Unexpectedly one of the nighthawks made its dip, with the accompanying "woof," but a few feet from Mr. Said.

According to his description the bird threw its wings far to the front at the end of his downward glide, so that the uppermost quill feathers were pointed exactly in the direction of his glide. Going at such headlong speed, these quill feathers when thrown edgewise to the air vibrated strongly, causing the "woof."

FRANK A. HARTMAN

SEATTLE, WASH.

SCIENTIFIC BOOKS

Vorlesungen über Landwirthschaftliche Bakteriologie. By DR. F. LÖHNIS, Professor an der Universität Leipzig. Berlin, Verlag von Gebrüder Borntraeger, W. 35 Schöneberger Ufer 12a. 1913.

Agricultural bacteriology is gaining prominence in scientific and practical circles. The agriculturist realizes more and more that scientific investigations are of help to him and the demand on colleges for courses in agricultural topics is constantly increasing. However, text-books are still scarce. The series of lectures by Professor Löhnis is the outcome of a course of lectures in connection with a general course in agricultural bacteriology given by him in the University of Leipzig.

The ground is covered as thoroughly as modern knowledge permits. No one realizes more fully than the author how much work is needed to complete our really very meager knowledge in many agricultural branches. The reader must be impressed with the fact, frequently stated, that research is necessary and that authors do not agree in many instances.

The book is divided into two parts—a general part and a special part. The general part consists of fourteen lectures. The first lecture gives a general introduction to the significance and the problems of agricultural bacteriology and includes a historical review and a list of

some important books bearing on the subject. The shape, development and classification of microorganisms is covered in two lectures. The size of bacteria is admirably illustrated by diagrams showing the relation of the bacterial content of milk, butter and cheese to definite quantities of these substances. Such illustrations render the book exceptionally valuable, especially to students. Three lectures are devoted to conditions of existence and multiplication of microorganisms and two lectures to methods of cultivation and combating microorganisms.

Under the general head of "Products of Microorganisms" six lectures are united. The production of pigment, light and heat are discussed. The circulation of nitrogen, carbon, hydrogen and oxygen are given with considerable detail and illustrated in diagrammatic fashion. These complicated subjects are dealt with in masterly fashion, notwithstanding the fact that some facts are still poorly understood. Similarly, a lecture is given to the discussion of the decomposition and assimilation by bacteria of phosphorus compounds and the solution of carbonates and silicates. Mention is also made in this lecture of sulphur and iron bacteria. For the sake of completeness one lecture deals with pathogenic functions of microorganisms. It is hardly necessary to state that this extensive subject is treated briefly. However, the chief principles of virulence, infection, immunity, vaccination, serum therapy and chemo-therapy are ably dealt with.

The second "special" part commences with a lecture on the bacteriology of foods for cattle. Here the author forcefully shows the rôle played by microorganisms in the ripening and decomposing of foods. Many gaps in our knowledge are clearly pointed out.

Two interesting lectures give the student the most necessary knowledge of the milk question. The attitude of the author in regard to this important subject is of special interest. There are at present in this field the extreme views of those commercially interested and the no less extreme views of some sanitarians. Professor Löhnis takes an intermediate position, recognizing the necessity of

gradual, rather than forced, improvement in the milk supply, and sanitarians are warned against entertaining premature conclusions. Those sanitarians who have recklessly connected mastitis in cows with human diseases receive criticism from the author, although he does not neglect to emphasize that milk from cows with diseased udders should be rigorously excluded from human consumption, unless previously boiled. The author also points out that—as desirable as it is to establish grades of market milk—existing regulations are rarely reasonable and generally immature. Numbers of bacteria in milk are of relatively smaller significance than the possible presence of pathogenic bacteria. These may multiply in milk of small bacterial content more rapidly than in milk rich in bacterial life. Special emphasis is laid on the necessity of instructing producers. And here it must be stated that Professor Löhnis ranks among those old-world scientists who are ready to give full credit to American workers in agricultural fields.

One lecture is devoted to the bacteriology of butter and two lectures to cheese. There are five lectures on the bacteriology of manure and soil. These are also conservative and critical. Finally, the whole subject is reviewed in a retrospect and a prospect. Valuable suggestions for those interested in research work in agricultural lines are given.

As a whole the subject-matter is presented in good style, the numerous illustrations are exceptionally clear, and no one can read the book without adding materially to his knowledge and broadening his views.

P. G. HEINEMANN

Franz von Kobells Lehrbuch der Mineralogie. Seventh edition. By K. OEBBEKE and E. WEINSCHENK. Leipzig, Friedrich Brandstetter. 1913. Pp. viii + 405; 1 plate; 344 figures in text. Price, 8.50 Marks.

In 1899 the sixth edition of this popular German text-book on mineralogy appeared under the same joint authorship as the present edition. In the new edition the text has been increased by 67 pages. The general portion has been entirely rewritten and the descriptive

part revised so as to bring the mineral data up to date.

There are three subdivisions in the general part, namely: (1) Crystallography, (2) Physical Mineralogy, and (3) Chemical Mineralogy; the special part is devoted to Descriptive Mineralogy.

Crystallography is discussed in 70 pages and under three headings, (a) general morphological properties of minerals, (b) special geometrical properties of crystals and (c) twins, development and intergrowths of minerals and inclusions. The discussion of crystallography is well adapted to the needs of the beginning student. The more important classes of crystals are considered at length upon the modern basis of symmetry, but reference is also made to the rather useful ideas from the standpoint of pedagogy of holohedrism, hemihedrism and so forth. The crystal drawings are exceptionally clear. Fig. 51 is, however, inverted.

Thirty-seven pages are devoted to physical mineralogy, which includes the following subdivisions, (a) specific gravity, (b) elasticity and cohesion, (c) optical properties and (d) miscellaneous physical properties. The discussions in this section are again limited to only that which is of importance to the student who has a general knowledge of mineralogy in mind. Thus, the polarization phenomena of crystals are disposed of in 14 pages.

The next 60 pages are devoted to chemical mineralogy. Here, (a) general chemical properties, (b) occurrence and formation, (c) weathering and decomposition, (d) synthesis and (e) classification and nomenclature of minerals are discussed. The chapter on the occurrence and formation of minerals contains a large amount of information not usually included in text-books on mineralogy of this character. Brief reference is first made to the classification, form, structure and paragenesis of mineral deposits. Then follow concise descriptions of the various types of the more important rocks and mineral deposits. This chapter is very well written, and similar discussions could be introduced to advantage in American texts on mineralogy.

In the descriptive portion, extending over 215 pages, the classification of minerals according to elements is followed. The mineral descriptions are generally adequate, although native copper is disposed of in about one half page and with but four lines devoted to the Lake Superior occurrence. The statistics relating to the production of minerals are for 1910.

This edition of von Kobell's mineralogy of only 405 pages is much more comprehensive than any other text on the subject of similar compass. The authors are to be congratulated upon the clear and concise manner in which this wealth of material has been presented.

EDWARD H. KRAUS

MINERALOGICAL LABORATORY,
UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

Outlines of Theoretical Chemistry. By FREDERICK H. GETMAN, Ph.D. John Wiley & Sons. 1913. Pp. ix + 467.

This book is written primarily for the use of students beginning the study of physical chemistry. It is always interesting to have the viewpoint of a new author in such an extended field as modern physical chemistry. The array of facts and theories in the recent literature of physical chemistry is so vast that necessarily each teacher must be content to select what he considers to be the most important principles and of need neglect others. Dr. Getman has chosen to chapter and classify nineteen lines of discussion. After discussing briefly the atomic theory and the periodic law, the conventional fields of physical chemistry are developed historically in most cases. This historical treatment is carefully handled for the most part, the tendency being throughout the book to treat the subjects considered from the viewpoint of the original investigators. While this treatment is excellent in most cases, a little more personality injected would clear certain points. For example, the chapter on Electrons can not give the student anything more than a very vague idea of the subject. On the other hand, the subjects of Thermochemistry, Equilibrium, Electromotive Force

and Actinochemistry are very clearly and satisfactorily handled.

The addition of a series of well-selected problems at the end of each chapter is to be highly commended.

While the press work of the book is of the same high quality as that of Wiley & Sons' text-books, it is to be regretted that the cost to the student is as much as \$3.50.

VICTOR LENHER

BOTANICAL NOTES

SMALL'S MANUALS

DR. J. K. SMALL, of the New York Botanical Garden, has been very industrious in the preparation of systematic manuals of botany as shown by his "Flora of the Southeastern United States," now in its second edition, his "Flora of Miami," "Florida Trees," both of which appeared during 1913, and now we have a "Flora of Lancaster County" (Penn.) in collaboration with the late J. E. Carter. The first-named books were noticed in these columns when they appeared, and it remains only to notice the last. While the Florida manuals dealt with a *terra incognita*, the Flora of Lancaster County deals with a region which "has been the scene of almost continuous botanical exploration and study for nearly a century and a half." In fact the work was begun by Muhlenberg in the latter part of the eighteenth century. Somewhat more than forty years ago Professor T. C. Porter published an enumeration of the indigenous and naturalized plants of the county, and this has formed "the basis of the present flora."

The book includes about 350 pages, and is an actual descriptive manual, and not a series of keys. In other words the treatment here reminds one of that in such a manual as Britton's, or Gray's, and while keys are freely used, the genera and the species are separately described. One wishes that more local floras could be modeled after this very satisfactory little book.

BOTANICAL NOTES

FROM the Central Experimental Farm at Ottawa, Canada, there comes a bulletin (No. 73) of more than ordinary scientific interest.

It is entitled "Smut Diseases of Cultivated Plants, Their Cause and Control," and was prepared by the Dominion Botanist, Mr. H. T. Güssow. In somewhat less than sixty pages the author presents in fairly non-technical language the important facts about smut fungi in general, followed by details regarding ten species which attack wheat, barley, oats, corn, broom corn, and millet. In an appendix the latter are described botanically for the benefit of students. Good figures, which are freely used, help both the farmer and the student to identify the diseased hosts, as well as the parasitic fungi. Preventive and remedial measures are suggested at every step. The importance of such a bulletin may be appreciated when we remember that it is estimated that Canadian farmers annually lose about \$15,000,000 from the ravages of these smuts.

BOTANISTS and foresters will be glad to know that Professor A. F. Blakeslee and his colleague, C. D. Jarvis, have reprinted the Keys to the Genera and Species of Trees in the Eastern United States. These were originally in their book "Trees in Winter," and the many requests from teachers and others for separate copies of these keys have induced the authors to issue them in a 15-page pamphlet. It may be obtained of the authors at Storrs, Conn., for 30 cents, and should prove helpful to teachers who are trying to teach their pupils how to know the names of the trees about them.

SOME months ago there came to hand the Annual Report of the Agrostologist and Botanist of the Transvaal for the year 1911, bearing date of June, 1912, but issued later from the press. It was prepared by Professor J. Burt-Davy, the well-known botanist of south Africa, and contains many items of considerable botanical interest, especially to those whose interest extends to applied botany. A large part of the paper is devoted to a discussion of the plants suspected of being poisonous to cattle ("lamziekte").

AMONG recent contributions from the United States National Herbarium (Volumes 16 and

17) are the following: Cook and Doyle's Stilt Palms (*Iriartineae*), describing three new genera; Britton and Rose's Studies in Cactaceae, in which they describe seven new species from Mexico, Guatemala and Panama; Cook's Relationship of *Pseudophoenix*, a curious relative of the Date Palm; Britton and Rose's Genus *Epiphyllum*, in which two new genera and five new species are described from Mexico and southward; Smith and Rose's Monograph of certain tribes (Haueyeae and Gongylocarpeae) of the Onagraceae, represented by Mexican and Californian genera; Maxon's Fourth instalment of his Studies of Tropical American Ferns, containing notes on *Asplenium trichomanes*, *Dicksonia*, *Odontosoria*, and other fern genera, and new species of *Lycopodium*; Hitchcock's Mexican Grasses in the U. S. National Herbarium, including 133 genera and 613 species. The large genera are *Muhlenbergia* (58 species), *Panicum* (54 sp.), *Paspalum* (39 sp.), *Andropogon* (28 sp.), *Bouteloua* (28 sp.), *Sporobolus* (21 sp.), *Eragrostis* (21 sp.), *Aristida* (19 sp.) and *Stipa* (16 sp.). Six bamboos are enumerated.

The Contributions from the Gray Herbarium of Harvard University (N. S., XLII.) include critical studies of certain genera of Composites, and a report upon the grasses collected in British Honduras by Professor M. E. Peck.

CHARLES E. BESSEY

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA

SPECIAL ARTICLES

MITOCHONDRIA IN TISSUE CULTURE

THE immense literature¹ which has grown up in the last few years, concerning these minute bodies found in the cytoplasm of various cells in many different species not only of vertebrate and invertebrate animals but also of plants, and the great importance which has been assigned to them by various authors must necessarily arouse even more general interest and increased observation and discussion.

A multiplicity of names has already been given these bodies: mitochondria and chon-

¹ J. Duesberg, *Ergebnisse der Anatomie und Entwicklungsgeschichte*, Bd. XX., 1911.

driomiten by Benda; chondrioconten, chondriosomen, chondrion and plastosomen by Meves; plasmafaden, plasmaköner by Retzius; paramiton or miton by Flemming; microsomen by Van Beneden; granule and filament by Altmann.

The mitochondrial theory has been most widely promulgated by Benda (1899-1903) and Meves (1907-1908). Duesberg states the theory concisely as follows: the mitochondria are specific elements of the cytoplasm, which arise from preformed elements in the male and female sex cells and are carried over into every cell at mitosis. These bodies differentiate into specific parts of the various adult tissues. While there are many authors whose observations tend to substantiate the mitochondrial theory, on the other hand just as many writers refuse to accept the mitochondrial theory in its entirety. Some give observations to show that the mitochondria are formed from nuclear material at certain periods of the cell's activity.² Others claim that they are fermentation products of the activity of the centriole,³ and still others state that the mitochondria are throughout entirely different elements whose identity have nothing in common.⁴

The tissues from chick embryos grown outside the body in media of known chemical constitution, which we have been studying during the past three years, with other problems in mind, have shown such beautiful mitochondria in both fixed and living specimens that we are led to believe this method offers a better opportunity for their study than any heretofore used.

Small pieces of tissue from a chick embryo, four to ten days old, suspended in a drop of Lock's solution containing 0.25 per cent. dextrose are placed on the sterile surface of a clean coverslip. The coverslip is then inverted over a hollow ground slide, sealed with vaseline, and incubated at 39° C.; growth usually appears at the end of 10-20 hours. Such preparations are studied on the warm stage

with the No. 2 Zeiss apochromatic and a No. 4, 6 or 8 ocular.

Janus green in strengths of .00001 and .000005 of 1 per cent. stains the mitochondria in the living cells a brilliant blue green. The color fades, however, in from 15 minutes to 3 hours, and we have been unable to restrain. The janus green is also slightly toxic and kills the cells in a few hours. We are indebted to Dr. E. V. Cowdry for this particular janus green (di ethyl saffranin azo di methyl aniline) which was obtained by him from Dr. Bensley of Chicago. Attempts to stain with another make were unsuccessful.

Nilblew B. extra, for which we are indebted to Dr. Herbert Evans, was used in very dilute solution of .000005 to .0000025 of 1 per cent. for the detection of lipoids in connection with the mitochondria. It stains the lipoids pink, but is unfortunately somewhat toxic and, like the janus green, kills the cells in a few hours.

The preparations are fixed by placing the coverslip in a chamber of osmic acid vapor from two to five minutes and since the growth is very thin, the fixation is almost instantaneous and the mitochondria remains practically the same as in the living cells. The blackening caused by the osmic is bleached during the hardening processes by means of a few drops of hydrogen peroxide in the 70 per cent. alcohol and the preparations are then stained with Heidenhain's iron hematoxylin. Since in places the cells are flattened out on the under surface of the coverslip into a single layer much thinner than the usual thickness of a single cell, one can study the entire living cell and its contents with a minimal amount of focusing. Also at any moment during the observations the culture can be fixed and later the same cells studied in a stained preparation.

Mitochondria were studied in endothelium, mesenchyme, giant cells, ectoderm, heart muscle, smooth muscle and endoderm.

The stained preparations show great variety in the shape of mitochondria and often in the same specimen, as of heart muscle or mesenchyme, all the so-called types described by

² R. Hertwig and Goldsmith, 1909.

³ Vejdovsky, 1907.

⁴ Veratti, 1909; Pensa, 1911; Lindegard, 1910; Curwitsch, 1910.

other observers are to be found. In such specimens, granules, rods, threads, loops and networks can be arranged in a continuous series; in other words, there are to be found in single stained preparations all gradations of size and shape from the small and large granules to short rods and long rods, to threads of varying length, to anastomosing threads and networks, which extend throughout the cytoplasm and to rings and loops of various shapes.

Certain kinds of cells do, however, contain characteristically shaped mitochondria. Intestinal or stomach endoderm shows only granules and short rods. Heart and smooth muscle cells contain in addition to the usual types large round and spindle-shaped mitochondria. In the heart muscle cell the appearance of the central body, probably the centrosome, at the base of the nucleus, is much more definite than in most cells. The mitochondria radiate out from this central body as though under the influence of the activity of the centrosome, as is believed by Vejdovsky.

By far the most important and interesting are the observations on the living cells. In the living cells the mitochondria are seen as slightly refractive opaque bodies. They can be studied from minute to minute over a period of several days if necessary. The mitochondria are almost never at rest, but are continually changing their position and also their shape. The changes in shape are truly remarkable not only in the great variety of forms, but also in the rapidity with which they change from one form to another. A single mitochondrion may bend back and forth with a somewhat undulatory movement or thicken at one end and thin out at the other with an appearance almost like that of pulsation, repeating this process many times. Again, a single mitochondrion sometimes twists and turns rapidly as though attached at one end, like the lashing of a flagellum, then suddenly moves off to another position in the cytoplasm as though some tension had been released. Corresponding to the forms observed in the stained preparations we find in the living that granules can be seen to fuse together

into rods or chains, and these to elongate into threads, which in turn anastomose with each other and may unite into a complicated network, which in turn may again break down into threads, rods, loops and rings.

The mitochondria in a cell of a living preparation of a piece of heart of a five-day chick embryo studied on the third day after the culture was made presented a very remarkable picture. Radiating out from the central body at the base of the nucleus were numerous granules, rods and thread-like mitochondria. They were seen to elongate to many times their original length, spreading out through the cytoplasm and to anastomose into a complicated network. About fifteen minutes later this network broke up and contracted into threads, rings, loops and rods and granules. This entire process took place without any noticeable change in the position or size of the cell.

The presence of fat is shown by the Nilblew vital stain within the varicose mitochondria. We have not observed any connection between the disappearance of mitochondria and the formation of fat within the cell as stated by Dubreuil.

The question as to whether the mitochondria divide, so that one half of each mitochondrion passes into each daughter cell at mitosis (Benda), is one of the most interesting in the whole field of work upon mitochondria. As yet we are unable to state definitely that such a division takes place. In the cells of the tissue cultures the behavior of the mitochondria is difficult to follow during mitosis, since the body of the cell contracts to much less than the normal size and its processes become exceedingly long and delicate. All of the mitochondria are drawn into the body of the cell and become very short dumb-bell-shaped granules during the late metaphase and anaphase. Owing to the very flat shape of the cells (growing along the coverslip) the spindle always appears horizontal to the coverslip and the plane of cleavage perpendicular to the surface. In some cells during the anaphase the mitochondria were observed to collect in a zone through which the cleavage plane will

later pass, and about equal parts of the mass of mitochondria were included in each daughter cell. In other cells no such definite behavior of the mitochondria takes place. They remain scattered throughout the cytoplasm or are collected at the two poles of the cell during the formation of the daughter cells.

The question of the behavior of the mitochondria during the life history of the cell is one of great interest and we feel confident that this method of study of the living cell will be of great value not only for making observations upon the mitochondria, but also for the study of other activities of the cell.

Can we infer from these observations anything concerning the real nature of the mitochondria? Are they organs of the cell, functioning in a definite manner, in other words a living part of a living cell? If they are organs of the cell, are they concerned in the routine metabolism which takes place in all living cells or are they concerned with the process of differentiation of such structures as the myofibrillæ, neuro-fibrillæ, white fibrous tissue, etc.? On the other hand, are we dealing with inactive metabolic products of the cell, inactive in the sense of not being a part of the living protoplasm? If so, are they excretory products which later are extruded from the cell or storage products which are being continually formed by the activity of the cell and again used up in its metabolism? What relation do they bear to the metabolism of the nucleus, if any? The discussion of these most important points must be left for a more complete account of the mitochondria in tissue cultures which is soon to follow.

M. R. LEWIS,
W. H. LEWIS

JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

ASTRONOMICAL AND ASTROPHYSICAL SOCIETY OF AMERICA

THE sixteenth meeting of the Astronomical and Astrophysical Society of America was held in Atlanta, Ga., in connection with the American Association for the Advancement of Science on December 29, 1913, to January 1, 1914. The general social features of this meeting participated in alike by the association and the affiliated societies

have already been described by the general secretary of the association.

In connection with the relation of this society to the association one matter may be mentioned. Following the adoption of the plan for large general quadrennial meetings the society voted to endeavor to meet with the association for these meetings.

The council elected the following persons to membership: The Rev. T. H. E. C. Espin, Tow Law, Co. Durham, England; Dr. C. C. Kiess, Laws Observatory, Columbia, Mo.; and to honorary membership, Professor G. F. J. Arthur Auwers, Bellevuestr. 55, Grosslichterfelde, Berlin, W., Germany.

The following members were in attendance: G. C. Comstock, W. S. Eichelberger, Philip Fox, C. H. Gingrich, C. S. Howe, W. J. Humphreys, F. R. Moulton, E. C. Pickering, W. F. Rigge, H. N. Russell, Frederick Slocum; and the following visitors from the association: William Bowie, S. M. Barton, E. B. Van Vleck, C. F. Emerson, and R. P. Stephens.

At the joint meeting with Section A of the association two admirable addresses were delivered. The retiring vice-president of the section, Professor E. B. Van Vleck, presented "The Influence of Fourier's Series upon the Development of Mathematics." The society was represented by Professor H. N. Russell, who spoke on "Relations between the Spectra and Other Characteristics of the Stars."

Aside from these two addresses the scientific program contained twenty-nine papers and a report from the committee on photographic astronomy. The titles are given below in the order of presentation.

"The Arlington Time Signals in Omaha," by W. F. Rigge.

"Astronomical Panoramic Views from a City Observatory," by W. F. Rigge.

"Micrometric Observations of the Holden and Küstner Double Stars," by Philip Fox.

"Note on the Present Spectra of Three of the Novæ," by W. S. Adams and F. G. Pease.

"Note on the Relative Intensity at Different Wave-lengths of the Spectra of Stars having Large and Small Proper Motions," by W. S. Adams.

"Memoir on the Theory of Orbits," by F. R. Moulton.

"Faint Standards of Photographic Magnitude for Selected Areas," by F. H. Seares.

"Temperature, Rainfall and Sunspot Records," by W. J. Humphreys.

"An Easy Method of Drawing the Normals to a Parabola from any Point," by S. G. Barton.

"A Graphical Solution of Cubic Equations," by S. G. Barton.

"The Color of Faint Stars," by F. H. Seares.

"The Moon's Mean Longitude, 1908 to 1913," by F. E. Ross.

"Proper Motion of Telescopic Stars," by G. C. Comstock.

"Errors in the Right Ascensions of Newcomb's Fundamental Catalogue," by W. S. Eichelberger and H. R. Morgan.

"Stellar Parallaxes with the 40-inch Refractor," by F. Slocum and S. A. Mitchell.

"The Objective of the Sproul Telescope," by J. A. Miller and R. W. Marriott.

"Wendell's Photometric Measurements," by E. C. Pickering.

"On the Cepheid Type of Variation," by H. N. Russell.

"Oscillations in the Periods of Cluster Variables and the Coincidence of Visual and Photographic Maxima," by Harlow Shapley.

"The Discovery of Three Naked-eye Variable Stars," by Harlow Shapley.

"Note on the Use of Diffraction Effects in Stellar Parallax Work," by Frederick Slocum.

"Observations of Nebulae with an Objective-prism Camera," by E. B. Frost and H. L. Alden.

"The Location of the Sun's Reversing Layer," by S. A. Mitchell.

"Spectroscopic Notes from the Detroit Observatory," by R. H. Curtiss.

"Spectrographic Observations of the Nebulae," by V. M. Slipher.

"The Transmission of Terrestrial Radiation by the Earth's Atmosphere in Summer and Winter," by F. W. Very.

"Note on the Spectrum and Radial Velocity of ψ Persei," by Paul Merrill.

"The General Magnetic Field of the Sun," by G. E. Hale, F. Ellerman, and A. van Maanen.

"Color Equations of Photographs taken with the 16-inch Metcalf Telescope," by Henrietta S. Leavitt.

"Report of the Committee on Photographic Astrometry: I. Experiments with Wide-angle Cameras; II. Experiments with a Stationary Telescope," by Frank Schlesinger, Chairman.

The next meeting of the society will be held at Northwestern University in August of 1914.

PHILIP FOX,
Secretary

SOCIETIES AND ACADEMIES

THE ACADEMY OF SCIENCE OF ST. LOUIS

At the meeting of the Academy on January 5, Dr. Victor E. Emmel, of the Washington University Medical School, read a paper on "The Problem of the Origin of the Non-nucleated Red Blood Corpuscles."

Dr. Emmel stated that the various views which have arisen in the history of the problem may be briefly stated as including that of intra-cellular nuclear disintegration, nuclear persistence, the hematoblast theory, intra-cellular formation, and the nuclear extrusion theory. With the exception of the hematoblast theory, all of these views are still being seriously discussed, although at the present time that of nuclear extrusion has the greater number of adherents. In contrast to these theories the following results of a study of blood cultures and fresh and fixed blood of the pig embryo appear to support another possible mode of origin for the non-nucleated red blood corpuscles.

It was found that the erythroblast of the pig embryo in place of being spherical, as generally described, may in the later stages of cytomorphosis, assume a biconcave or cup shape; its nucleus becomes smaller, more compact, eccentric in position, and not infrequently flattened in form; mechanically rotated, the erythroblasts tend to orient themselves with the nuclear region remaining on the under side, as if loaded; and that their reaction to changes in osmotic conditions indicates a structural difference between the nuclear and cytoplasmic poles. These observations were discussed with reference to the question of the correlation of the form of the definite plastid with the enucleation of the erythroblast, and formation of a lecithin containing membrane, hemoglobin, differentiation, and the factors involved in determining the eccentric position of the nucleus.

In some eighty culture experiments non-nucleated erythrocytes or plastids were observed to arise from the parent erythroblast by a process of cytoplasmic constriction. In size, form, hemoglobin content and stain these culture plastids are comparable to the normal circulatory plastids. Observations on living and fixed material indicate the occurrence of a similar process within the embryo. These results accordingly raise the question whether the origin of non-nucleated red blood corpuscles by a process of cytoplasmic constriction rather than by nuclear extrusion or intracellular nuclear disintegration does not merit more serious consideration.

G. O. JAMES,
Corresponding Secretary